## Simultaneous Linear Equation

## Iterative Method (Successive Over Relaxation Method (SOR))

After reading this chapter, you should be able to:

1. solve a set of equations using the SOR method,
2. recognize the advantages and pitfalls of the SOR method, and
3. determine under what conditions the SOR method always converges.

## What is the algorithm for the SOR method?

Given a general set of $n$ equations and $n$ unknowns, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=b_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the diagonal elements are non-zero, each equation is rewritten for the corresponding unknown as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}^{r+1}=x_{1}^{r}+\frac{w}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{11} x_{1}^{r}-a_{12} x_{2}^{r}-a_{13} x_{3}^{r}\right) \\
x_{2}^{r+1}=x_{2}^{r}+\frac{w}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}^{r+1}-a_{22} x_{2}^{r}-a_{23} x_{3}^{r}\right) \\
x_{3}^{r+1}=x_{3}^{r}+\frac{w}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{1}^{r+1}+a_{32} x_{2}^{r+1}-a_{33} x_{3}^{r}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Where the value of $\boldsymbol{w}$ is in the rang $\quad 1<\boldsymbol{W}<2 \quad$ or $\quad 0<\boldsymbol{W}<1$
Now to find $x_{i}$ 's, one assumes an initial guess for the $x_{i}$ 's and then uses the rewritten equations to calculate the new estimates. At the end of each iteration, one calculates the absolute relative approximate error for each $x_{i}$ as

$$
\left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{i}=\left|\frac{x_{i}^{\text {new }}-x_{i}^{\text {old }}}{x_{i}^{\text {new }}}\right| \times 100
$$

where $x_{i}^{\text {new }}$ is the recently obtained value of $x_{i}$, $x_{i}^{\text {old }}$ is the previous value of $x_{i}$.

When the absolute relative approximate error for each $x_{i}$ is less than the prespecified error, the iterations are stopped.

## Example 1

The upward velocity of a rocket is given at three different times in the following table

Table 1 Velocity vs. time data.

| Time, $t(\mathrm{~s})$ | Velocity, $v(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 106.8 |
| 8 | 177.2 |
| 12 | 279.2 |

The velocity data is approximated by a polynomial as

$$
v(t)=a_{1} t^{2}+a_{2} t+a_{3}, \quad 5 \leq t \leq 12
$$

Find the values of $a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $a_{3}$ using the SOR method with $W=0.95$. Assume an initial guess of the solution as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
5
\end{array}\right]
$$

and conduct two iterations.

## Solution

The polynomial is going through three data points $\left(t_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(t_{2}, v_{2}\right)$, and $\left(t_{3}, v_{3}\right)$ where from the above table

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
t_{1}=5, & v_{1}=106.8 \\
t_{2}=8, & v_{2}=177.2 \\
t_{3}=12, & v_{3}=279.2
\end{array}
$$

Requiring that $v(t)=a_{1} t^{2}+a_{2} t+a_{3}$ passes through the three data points gives
$v\left(t_{1}\right)=v_{1}=a_{1} t_{1}^{2}+a_{2} t_{1}+a_{3}$
$v\left(t_{2}\right)=v_{2}=a_{1} t_{2}^{2}+a_{2} t_{2}+a_{3}$
$v\left(t_{3}\right)=v_{3}=a_{1} t_{3}^{2}+a_{2} t_{3}+a_{3}$

Substituting the data $\left(t_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(t_{2}, v_{2}\right)$, and $\left(t_{3}, v_{3}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}\left(5^{2}\right)+a_{2}(5)+a_{3}=106.8 \\
& a_{1}\left(8^{2}\right)+a_{2}(8)+a_{3}=177.2 \\
& a_{1}\left(12^{2}\right)+a_{2}(12)+a_{3}=279.2
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25 a_{1}+5 a_{2}+a_{3}=106.8 \\
& 64 a_{1}+8 a_{2}+a_{3}=177.2 \\
& 144 a_{1}+12 a_{2}+a_{3}=279.2
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $a_{3}$ for the above expression are given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
25 & 5 & 1 \\
64 & 8 & 1 \\
144 & 12 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
106.8 \\
177.2 \\
279.2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Rewriting the equations gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}^{r+1}=a_{1}^{r}+\left(\frac{w}{25}\right)\left(106.8-25 a_{1}^{r}-5 a_{2}^{r}-a_{3}^{r}\right) \\
& a_{2}^{r+1}=a_{2}^{r}+\left(\frac{w}{8}\right)\left(177.2-64 a_{1}^{r+1}-8 a_{2}^{r}-a_{3}^{r}\right) \\
& a_{3}^{r+1}=a_{3}^{r}+\left(\frac{w}{1}\right)\left(279.2-144 a_{1}^{r+1}-12 a_{2}^{r+1}-a_{3}^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Iteration 1

Given the initial guess of the solution vector as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
5
\end{array}\right]
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}^{r+1}=1+\left(\frac{0.95}{25}\right)(106.8-25 * 1-5 * 2-5)=3.5384 \\
& a_{2}^{r+1}=2+\left(\frac{0.95}{8}\right)(177.2-64 * 3.5384-8 * 2-5)=-6.3431 \\
& a_{3}^{r+1}=5+\left(\frac{0.95}{1}\right)(279.2-144 * 3.5384-12 *-6.3431-5)=-146.252
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute relative approximate error for each $x_{i}$ then is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{1}=\left|\frac{3.5384-1}{3.5384}\right| \times 100=71.739 \% \\
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{2}=\left|\frac{-6.3431-2}{-6.3431}\right| \times 100=131.530 \% \\
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{3}=\left|\frac{-146.252-5}{-146.252}\right| \times 100=103.419 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

At the end of the first iteration, the estimate of the solution vector is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3.5384 \\
-6.3431 \\
-146.252
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the maximum absolute relative approximate error is $131.530 \%$.

## Iteration 2

The estimate of the solution vector at the end of Iteration \#1 is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3.5384 \\
-6.3431 \\
-146.252
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}^{r+1}=3.5384+\left(\frac{0.95}{25}\right)(106.8-25 * 3.5384-5 *-6.3431-(-146.252))=10.9981 \\
& a_{2}^{r+1}=-6.3431+\left(\frac{0.95}{8}\right)(177.2-64 * 10.9981-8 *-6.3431-(-146.252))=-45.4926 \\
& a_{3}^{r+1}=-146.252+\left(\frac{0.95}{1}\right)(279.2-144 * 10.9981-12 *-45.4926-(-146.252))=-727.994
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute relative approximate error for each $x_{i}$ then is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{1}=\left|\frac{10.9981-3.5384}{10.9981}\right| \times 100=67.827 \% \\
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{2}=\left|\frac{-45.4926-(-6.3431)}{-45.4926}\right| \times 100=86.057 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{3}=\left|\frac{-727.994-(-146.252)}{-727.994}\right| \times 100=79.910 \%
$$

At the end of the second iteration the estimate of the solution vector is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
10.9981 \\
-45.4926 \\
-727.994
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the maximum absolute relative approximate error is $86.057 \%$.
Conducting more iterations gives the following values for the solution vector and the corresponding absolute relative approximate errors.

| Iteration | $a_{1}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{1} \%$ | $a_{2}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{2} \%$ | $a_{3}$ | $\left\|\left.\right\|_{a}\right\|_{3} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3.5384 | 71.739 | -6.3431 | 131.530 | -146.252 | 103.419 |
| 2 | 10.9981 | 67.827 | -45.4926 | 86.057 | -727.994 | 79.910 |
| 3 | 40.9157 | 73.120 | -205.742 | 77.889 | -3022.96 | 75.918 |
| 4 | 160.0678 | 74.439 | -846.783 | 75.703 | -12129.9 | 75.078 |
| 5 | 633.8852 | 74.748 | -3398.40 | 75.083 | -48315 | 74.894 |
| 6 | 2517.417 | 74.820 | -13543.8 | 74.908 | -192133 | 74.853 |

As seen in the above table, the solution estimates are not converging to the true solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=0.29048 \\
& a_{2}=19.690 \\
& a_{3}=1.0857
\end{aligned}
$$

## The above system of equations does not seem to converge. Why?

Well, a pitfall of most iterative methods is that they may or may not converge. However, the solution does always converge using the SOR method, if the class of system of equations is where the coefficient matrix is diagonally dominant, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{i i}\right| \geq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| \text { for all } i \\
& \left|a_{i i}\right|>\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| \text { for at least one } i
\end{aligned}
$$

If a system of equations has a coefficient matrix that is not diagonally dominant, it may or may not converge.

## Example 2

Find the solution to the following set of equations using SOR method with $w=1.2$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12 x_{1}+3 x_{2}-5 x_{3}=1 \\
& x_{1}+5 x_{2}+3 x_{3}=28 \\
& 3 x_{1}+7 x_{2}+13 x_{3}=76
\end{aligned}
$$

Use

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

as the initial guess and conduct two iterations.

## Solution

The coefficient matrix

$$
[A]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
12 & 3 & -5 \\
1 & 5 & 3 \\
3 & 7 & 13
\end{array}\right]
$$

is diagonally dominant as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{11}\right|=|12|=12 \geq\left|a_{12}\right|+\left|a_{13}\right|=|3|+|-5|=8 \\
& \left|a_{22}\right|=|5|=5 \geq\left|a_{21}\right|+\left|a_{23}\right|=|1|+|3|=4 \\
& \left|a_{33}\right|=|13|=13 \geq\left|a_{31}\right|+\left|a_{32}\right|=|3|+|7|=10
\end{aligned}
$$

and the inequality is strictly greater than for at least one row. Hence, the solution should converge using the SOR method.
Rewriting the equations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{r+1}=x_{1}^{r}+\left(1.2 / a_{11}\right) *\left(b_{1}-12 * x_{1}^{r}-3 * x_{2}^{r}+5 * x_{3}^{r}\right) \\
& x_{2}^{r+1}=x_{2}^{r}+\left(1.2 / a_{22}\right) *\left(b_{2}-x_{1}^{r+1}-5 * x_{2}^{r}-3 * x_{3}^{r}\right) \\
& x_{3}^{r+1}=x_{3}^{r}+\left(1.2 / a_{33}\right) *\left(b_{3}-3 * x_{1}^{r+1}-7 * x_{2}^{r+1}-13 * x_{3}^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming an initial guess of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Iteration 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{r+1}=1+(1.2 / 12) *(1-12 * 1-3 * 0+5 * 1)=0.4000 \\
& x_{2}^{r+1}=0+(1.2 / 5) *(28-0.4-5 * 0-3 * 1)=5.9040 \\
& x_{3}^{r+1}=1+(1.2 / 13) *(76-3 * 0.4-7 * 5.904-13 * 1)=2.8897
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute relative approximate error at the end of the first iteration is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{1}=\left|\frac{0.4000-1}{0.4000}\right| \times 100=150 \% \\
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{2}=\left|\frac{5.9040-0}{5.9040}\right| \times 100=100 \% \\
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{3}=\left|\frac{2.8897-1}{2.8897}\right| \times 100=65.395 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum absolute relative approximate error is $150 \%$

## Iteration 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{r+1}=0.4000+(1.2 / 12) *(1-12 * 0.4000-3 * 5.9040+5 * 2.8897)=-0.3063 \\
& x_{2}^{r+1}=5.904+(1.2 / 5) *(28-(-0.3063)-5 * 5.904-3 * 2.8897)=3.5321 \\
& x_{3}^{r+1}=2.8897+(1.2 / 13) *(76-3 *(-0.3063)-7 * 3.5321-13 * 2.8897)=4.2399
\end{aligned}
$$

At the end of second iteration, the absolute relative approximate error is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{1}=\left|\frac{(-0.3063)-0.4000}{(-0.3063)}\right| \times 100=230.575 \% \\
& \left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{2}=\left|\frac{3.5321-5.9040}{3.5321}\right| \times 100=67.152 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|\epsilon_{a}\right|_{3}=\left|\frac{4.2399-2.8897}{4.2399}\right| \times 100=31.846 \%
$$

The maximum absolute relative approximate error is $230.575 \%$. This is greater than the value of $150 \%$ we obtained in the first iteration. Is the solution diverging? No, as you conduct more iterations, the solution converges as follows.

| Iteration | $x_{1}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{1} \%$ | $x_{2}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{2} \%$ | $x_{3}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{3} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.4000 | 150 | 5.904 | 100 | 2.8897 | 65.395 |
| 2 | -0.3063 | 230.575 | 3.5321 | 67.152 | 4.2399 | 31.846 |
| 3 | 1.2216 | 125.076 | 2.6676 | 32.408 | 4.1054 | 3.278 |
| 4 | 1.1081 | 10.245 | 2.9646 | 10.019 | 3.9718 | 3.363 |
| 5 | 0.9749 | 13.663 | 3.0334 | 2.266 | 3.9910 | 0.481 |
| 6 | 0.9905 | 1.576 | 3.0021 | 1.043 | 4.0031 | 0.302 |

This is close to the exact solution vector of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example 3

Given the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 x_{1}+7 x_{2}+13 x_{3}=76 \\
& x_{1}+5 x_{2}+3 x_{3}=28 \\
& 12 x_{1}+3 x_{2}-5 x_{3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

find the solution using the SOR method with $w=1.2$,
Use

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

as the initial guess.

## Solution

Rewriting the equations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{r+1}=x_{1}^{r}+(1.2 / 3) *\left(76-3 * x_{1}^{r}-7 * x_{2}^{r}-13 * x_{3}^{r}\right) \\
& x_{2}^{r+1}=x_{2}^{r}+(1.2 / 5) *\left(28-x_{1}^{r+1}-5 * x_{2}^{r}-3 * x_{3}^{r}\right) \\
& x_{3}^{r+1}=x_{3}^{r}+(1.2 /-5) *\left(1-12 * x_{1}^{r+1}-3 * x_{2}^{r+1}+5 * x_{3}^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming an initial guess of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

the next six iterative values are given in the table below.

| Iteration | $x_{1}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{1} \%$ | $x_{2}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{2} \%$ | $x_{3}$ | $\left\|\epsilon_{a}\right\|_{3} \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 25 | 96 | $8.53 * 10^{-16}$ | 100 | 71.560 | 98.603 |
| 2 | -346.712 | 107.211 | 38.4077 | 100 | -985.429 | 107.262 |
| 3 | 5116.432 | 106.776 | -519.396 | 107.395 | 14558.2 | 106.769 |
| 4 | -75241.2 | 106.8 | 7686.590 | 106.757 | -214072 | 106.801 |
| 5 | 1106732 | 106.799 | -113014 | 106.801 | 3148833 | 106.799 |
| 6 | $1.6^{*} 10^{7}$ | 106.799 | 1662363 | 106.798 | $-4.6 * 10^{7}$ | 106.799 |

You can see that this solution is not converging and the coefficient matrix is not diagonally dominant. The coefficient matrix is not diagonally dominant as

$$
\left|a_{11}\right|=|3|=3 \leq\left|a_{12}\right|+\left|a_{13}\right|=|7|+|13|=20
$$

## Hence, the SOR method may or may not converge.

However, it is the same set of equations as the previous example and that converged. The only difference is that we exchanged first and the third equation with each other and that made the coefficient matrix not diagonally dominant.
Therefore, it is possible that a system of equations can be made diagonally dominant if one exchanges the equations with each other. However, it is not possible for all cases.

