

which is a Contradiction.

Now to show T has at most one fixed point

let $x^* = Tx^*$ and $y^* = Ty^*$ Then

$$d(Tx^*, Ty^*) = d(x^*, y^*) < d(x^*, y^*) \Rightarrow$$

$$\underline{x^* = y^*}$$

(H.W): In each of the following Cases, Say whether the sequence $\{a_n\}$ in the metric space (X, d) is (a) bounded (b) Cauchy (c) convergent

(i) $X = \mathbb{C}$ (usual metric), $a_n = e^{in}$

(ii) $X = \mathbb{R}$ (usual metric), $a_n = b_n c_n$

where $\{b_n\}$ is bounded and $\{c_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Theorem: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping for

which \exists a real number α, β and γ satisfying

$$0 < \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \forall x, y \in X$$

at least one of the following is true:

$$(Z_1) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y),$$

$$(Z_2) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$$

$$(Z_3) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \gamma [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)].$$

Then T is a picard operator.

and Zamfirescu mapping.

proof: let $x, y \in X$. AT least one of z_1, z_2 or z_3 is true if z_2 holds, then

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \leq$$

$$\beta [d(x, Tx) + (d(y, x) + d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, Ty))]]$$

$$(1-\beta) d(Tx, Ty) \leq 2\beta d(x, Tx) + \beta d(x, y)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta} d(x, Tx) + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} d(x, y).$$

z_3 holds, then similarly we get

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma} d(x, Tx) + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} d(x, y)$$

therefore, denoting $\delta = \max \{ \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}, \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}, \alpha \}$ we have $0 < \delta < 1$ and then, for all $x, y \in X$,

the following inequality

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq 2\delta d(x, Tx) + \delta d(x, y) \dots (*)$$

we will show that T has a unique fixed point

$x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = \dots = T^n x_0 \quad n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

picard iteration associated to T . Then, by $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \delta d(x_n, x_{n-1})$. the rest

of the proof is similar to that of (fixed point Th).

Example 1 Find the roots of $x^2 - 2x - 3 = 0$

Solution: There are several ways to write this into the form $x = f(x)$ and we simply start with some initial guess x_0 and apply the iteration formula $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$ $n=1, 2, \dots$

Consider the following $x = \sqrt{2x+3} \dots (1)$

Now suppose we start with initial guess $x_0 = 4$

and iterate using $x_n = \sqrt{2x_{n-1} + 3}$ which come

from (1) we get the following sequence of

$$\text{iterates } x_1 = \sqrt{2x_0 + 3} = \sqrt{2(4) + 3} = \sqrt{11} = 3.32$$

$$x_2 = f(x_1) = f(\sqrt{11}) = f(3.32) = \sqrt{2x_1 + 3} = \sqrt{2(3.32) + 3}$$

$$= \sqrt{9.63325} = 3.1$$

$$x_3 = \sqrt{2(3.1) + 3} = 3.01144, \quad x_4 = \text{etc} \dots$$

The sequence converges to $x = 3$. Observe that the two exact roots for the given problem are at $x = -1$ and $x = 3$. The figure below shows a plot of $f(x)$

