See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311064688

Heavy Metals in Residual Sediments Core Along Shatt AL-Arab Estuary

Article in Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences · November 2016

CITATIONS		READS
0		31
1 author	:	
	Hamid T. Al-Saad	
(=)	University of Pacrah	



University of Basrah

184 PUBLICATIONS 428 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Some Fishes from the Iraqi Marine Waters View project

Project

Project

Pollution indexes in Shatt Al-Arab River View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Hamid T. Al-Saad on 28 November 2016.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file. All in-text references <u>underlined in blue</u> are added to the original document and are linked to publications on ResearchGate, letting you access and read them immediately.



Original Research Article

Heavy Metals in Residual Sediments Core Along Shatt AL-Arab Estuary

Zahra'a Salih Radi Al-Shamsi¹, Abbas H. Mohammed¹and Hamid T. Al-Saad^{2*}

¹College of Science. Department of Geology ,University of Basrah-Basrah-Iraq ²Faculty of Marine Science -University of Basrah- Iraq

*Corresponding Author: Hamid T. Al-Saad, Faculty of Marine Science -University of Basrah- Iraq

Received: 19 October 2016 Revised: 02 November 2016 Accepted: 04 November 2016

ABSTRACT

In This study we have calculated the concentrations of some heavy metals (Pb, Ni, Cu, Cr, Zn, Co, Cd and Fe) in residual phase by using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (FAAS) for sediment core at six stations along Shatt Al-Arab estuary they are: (Al-Qurna, Al-Deer, Al-Qarma, Al-Ashar, Abu-Alkasib and Al-Fao). The Grain Size and Total Organic Carbon (TOC%) were also analyzed. Higher concentrations of some heavy metals in sediments indicated that the sediments acted as a sink and source for these metals. Also we observed decreasing values with increasing depth because these layers are oldest age so the decomposition processes took place for a long time as compared with the layers above it. The lowest mean concentration in some stations because of the fewness sources of pollution in this region whereas the highest mean concentration in other stations such as Al-Ashar and Al-Fao station because of there are a lot of pollution sources such as : Oil Pollution (Al-Muftia oil refineries) Industrial wastes, Municipal Wastes (Al-Ashar river) and Sewage pollutants. The results obtained for the sediment samples were high except for Cd and Co which were relatively low. They were followed in order as: Fe > Cr > Ni > Zn > Pb > Cu > Co > Cd. The increasing in some Heavy metals concentrations are due to Iraq –Iran war and the Gulf war 1and 2 a lot of shooting and military actions were done during these times which release Heavy metals to the environments. The highest rate of Total Organic Carbon recorded in Al-Qarma amounted to (1.67%) while the lowest rate recorded in Al-Fao (0.049%) due to the lack of pollution sources in this region. The Grain Size of sediment were also analyzed, the silt and clay was predominate in most of the study stations. There is mainly a significant Correlation between metals and Total Organic Carbon and there is no significant Correlation with grain size.

Keyword: Heavy metals; resiual; sediment core; Shatt AL-Arab estuary

INTRODUCTION

The Shatt Al-Arab River forms the main source of freshwater for the Arabian Gulf and plays an important role for marine habitats in the Gulf's north-eastern coastal areas. However, the large-scale development of upstream water regulation and dam structures, together with the drainage of the Mesopotamian Marshes have caused severe salinization of the river. This not only threatens marine ecosystems in the Gulf, but also jeopardizes agricultural activity along the Shatt Al-Arab. The southern part of the Shatt Al-Arab constitutes the border between Iran and Iraq until it discharges into the Arabian Gulf, with a total length of 192 km, the Shatt al Arab widens over its course, expanding from a width of (250-300)m near the **Euphrates-Tigris** confluence to almost 700 m near the city of Basrah and more than 800 m as it approaches the river mouth An area of 145,190 km2 drains directly to the Shatt Al-Arab region downstream of the Euphrates-Tigris confluence (excluding the Euphrates and Tigris Basin areas). The Shatt Al-Arab Delta area is classified as estuarine-deltaic because the river's sediment seeps into a shallow, narrow part of the Arabian Gulf. The Shatt al Arab Delta is 140 km wide and splits into more landscape than 10 branches. The is characterized by green marshy areas, lakes, lagoons and estuaries, bordered by irrigated lands and date palm plantations and surrounded by desert [1]. The most important Heavy Metals from the point of view of water pollution are zinc, copper, lead, cadmium, iron,

,nickel and manganese . Some of these metals (e.g. copper, zinc and iron) are essential Heavy living organisms play Metals to and irreplaceable roles in the functioning of critical enzyme systems, but become toxic at higher Others, concentrations. such as lead and cadmium, have no known biological function, and may be toxic even at Heavy levels to exposure [2].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sediment core samples were taken from six sampling stations which represent different sector of Shatt Al-Arab estuary for analysis and estimation the concentration of some heavy Metals (Cr, Co, Cd, Cu, Pb, Ni, Fe and Zn) in residual phase in these sediment core. GPS instrument is used to fix the positions of these stations. They are : Al-Deer , Al-Qarma , Al-Qurna , Al-Ashar , Abo-Alkasib and Al-Faw as shown in Fig(1). Sediment cores (Acid washed PVC pipe of 1m lengthX10 cm diameter) were collected from six stations. The cores were inserted into the water-sediment interface and pushed to ensure that it reached maximum depth. The cores were slowly retrieved back, closed with its cover immediately and marked as to which is the upward direction .



Fig. No. 1: The study stations

The residual heavy metals were extracted according to [3] concentrated HCl and $HNO_3(1:1)$ were added to each sample and evaporated to near dryness on a hotplate at 80°C, then a mixture of concentrated HClO₄ and HF (1:1) were added. After heating to near dryness, 20 ml of 0.5 HCl were added and cooled for 10 min. The extraction was decanted into 25 ml plastic volumetric flask. This step was repeated twice and all supernatant were combined. Samples were stored in tight stopper polyethylene vials to be ready for analysis by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (FAAS).To check for contamination of the digestion procedure and sample manipulation, a blank solution was prepared and carried through each set of the analysis. The Total Organic Carbon content in the sediment samples was determined according to the method of [4]. Mean grain size were analyzed by using Sedigraph and the grain size (sand, silt , and clay) was determined as percentage of sediments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result of the present study are shown in Table (1) to (6) show that the concentrations of lead in the residual phase inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the concentrations of lead in the residual phase inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of lead in the residual phase was (73.19 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station and the lowest value was (25.61 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qurna station, the highest mean value (58.44 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Fao station and the lowest mean value (51.29 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station. The lowest mean concentration observed in Al-Qarma and Al-Qurna station because of the fewness sources of pollution in this region whereas the highest mean concentration observed in Al-Ashar and Al-Fao station because of there are a lot of

pollution sources such as : Sewage pollutants, Industrial wastes, Oil Pollution (Al-Muftia oil refineries) and Municipal Wastes (Al-Ashar river) .The increasing in Pb concentrations are due to Irag –Iran war and the Gulf war 1and 2 a lot of shooting and military actions were done during these times which release Pb to the environments. The concentrations of Nickel proportional with the depth for all inversely stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Nickel in the residual phase was (85.42 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Qurna station and the lowest value was $(50.47 \mu g/g dry weight)$ at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station, the highest mean value (74.90 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station and the lowest mean value (66.36 μ g/g dry weight) The increasing in Ni in Al-Qarma station. concentrations are due to the oil refinery of Abadan discharge [5]. The concentrations of Copper inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Copper in the residual phase was (54.52 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-shar station and the lowest value was (18.47 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station, the highest mean value (38.21 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Ashar station and the lowest mean value (28.34 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Fao station. This fluctuation in Cu concentrations is mainly due to the different source of pollution in these stations such as the discharge of Industrial wastes, Oil The refinery, sewage pollution [5]. concentrations of Chrome inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Chrome in the residual phase was (102.87 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Fao station and the lowest value was (55.11 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qurna station, the highest mean value (86.40 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Fao station due to the oil terminals in the area and the fish boats in the area which discharge waste oil and the

443

lowest mean value (74.32 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station. the concentrations of Zinc inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of of Zinc concentrations in the residual phase was (65.89 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Ashar station and the lowest value was (44.79 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Abu-Alkasib station, the highest mean value (58.30 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Fao station and the lowest mean value (55.34µg/g dry weight) in Al-Deer station. The high concentration of Zn because of the high population compared with other areas and launch high organic wastes. The concentrations of Cobalt inversely proportional with the depth all for stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Cobalt in the residual phase was (18.99µg/g dry weight) at (5-10 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station and the lowest value was (8.3 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qurna station, the highest mean value (15.65 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Deer station and the lowest mean value (12.04 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station. The concentrations of Cadmium inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Cadmium

in the residual phase was (15.98 µg/g dry (0-5 cm) depth weight) at in Abu-Alkasib station and the lowest value was $(6.49 \mu g/g dry weight)$ at (40-45 cm) depthin Al-Fao station, the highest mean value (13.57 µg/g dry weight) in Abu-Alkasib station and the lowest mean value (9.50µg/g dry weight) in Al-Fao station. The increasing of Cd due to increased human activities and continued launch of pollutants without treatment. the highest value of concentrations of Iron in the residual phase was (20,158.26 μ g/g dry weight) at (5-10cm) depth in Al-Ashar station and the lowest value was (770.15 µg/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Abu-Alkasib station, the highest mean value (12,710.76 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Ashar station and the lowest mean value (1,412.01 µg/g dry weight) in Abu-Alkasib station. This fluctuation in concentration of Fe due to the seasonal changes and weather conditions of heat, light, wind speed and erosion and sedimentation processes which effect the sedimentation or supareat of Iron as a result of oxidation and reduction processes. In this study there is mainly a Significant Correlation between metals at (p >0.05) as shown as table (9).

Depth	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
cm								
0-5	71.54	85.42	45.15	99.98	62.01	15.09	15.95	2785.99
5-10	70.77	82.55	44.39	94.59	61.94	14.87	15.64	2531.01
10-15	67.11	79.83	34.47	88.56	60.1	14.36	14.35	2152.17
15-20	62.31	77.11	30.3	81.25	58.39	12.63	14.27	1598.45
20-25	55.57	75.24	29.29	73.91	57.87	12.39	13.62	1254.71
25-30	52.33	75.13	29.19	70.34	57.47	12.1	13.27	1875.22
30-35	44.24	75.08	27.35	60.49	57.15	10.42	13.22	1957.52
35-40	32.56	66.85	21.71	60.23	55.7	10.16	12.22	1584.56
40-45	30.87	66.47	21.22	58.77	55.11	10.07	12.15	1632.71
45-50	25.61	65.3	20.29	55.11	50.74	8.3	9.05	1284.88
Total	512.91	748.98	303.36	743.23	576.48	120.39	133.74	18657.22
Mean	51.29	74.90	30.34	74.32	57.65	12.04	13.37	1865.72
±SD	17.18	6.88	8.84	16.11	3.37	2.29	1.98	505.03

Table 1: Concentration of Residual Heavy Metals ($\mu g/g$) dry weight in sediment core from Al-Qurna station

				Station				
Depth	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
(cm)								
0-5	70.33	80.97	40.65	100.37	60.81	18.82	12.81	5120.25
5-10	68.34	78.46	39.77	98.28	60.31	18.05	12.64	4356.29
10-15	68.71	74.91	37.64	98.03	58.62	17.61	12.11	3635.62
15-20	57.92	71.7	31.64	82.61	58.48	17.22	11.37	3599.32
20-25	57.26	69.63	31.24	82.24	58.12	17.03	11.06	3226.62
25-30	50.27	67.31	26.42	72.63	53.24	16.73	9.52	3231.27
30-35	44.92	66.92	22.61	72.32	51.61	16.32	9.32	2619.63
35-40	41.62	62.76	22.52	68.67	51.16	12.24	9.15	1923.62
40-45	41.31	62.39	22.02	63.64	51	12.11	9.07	1692.43
45-50	40.66	62.33	20.77	60.18	50.03	10.37	8.24	1670.42
Total	541.34	697.38	295.28	798.97	553.38	156.5	105.29	31075.47
Mean	54.13	69.74	29.53	79.90	55.34	15.65	10.53	3107.55
±SD	12.03	6.70	7.76	14.86	4.30	2.94	1.66	1148.34

Table 2: Concentration of Residual heavy metals (μ g /g) dry weight in sediment core from Al- Deer station

Table 3: Concentration of Residual heavy metals ($\mu g / g$) dry weight in sediment core from Al-

				Qarma				
Depth	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
(cm)								
0-5	73.19	80.19	42.37	100.47	60.3	18.68	13.62	5535.59
5-10	70.59	79.27	42.33	94.46	59.78	18.99	13.3	5985.66
10-15	70.16	70.02	41.72	94.19	58.95	15.2	12.49	5635.51
15-20	66.2	69.72	41.41	86.12	58.13	15.12	12.38	4253.12
20-25	62.37	69.12	31.38	80.05	55.95	15.08	10.92	4002.67
25-30	59.15	62.98	26.38	77.21	55.61	14.92	10.56	3856.21
30-35	51.67	62.53	26.19	77.12	53.56	12.6	10.34	3122.94
35-40	40.61	60.16	22.64	69.35	53.26	12.18	9.73	3522.17
40-45	42.57	59.29	19.01	60.65	50.88	12.03	8.27	3994.27
45-50	42.86	50.47	18.47	59.99	48.7	11.87	6.78	2642.61
Total	579.37	663.75	311.9	799.61	555.12	146.67	108.39	42550.75
Mean	57.94	66.36	31.19	79.96	55.51	14.67	10.84	4255.08
±SD	12.63	9.17	9.98	14.03	3.90	2.60	2.20	1118.03

				Ashar				
Depth	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
(cm)								
0-5	69.63	81.03	45.52	98.56	65.89	17.83	11.74	20,158.26
5-10	68.77	80.9	45.15	93.56	64.83	16.07	11.26	19,854.26
10-15	65.75	75.52	44.47	87.6	63.78	15.76	10.61	17,521.23
15-20	60.54	72.59	42.12	84.1	60.7	14.99	10.75	15,672.31
20-25	58.97	71.86	41.46	81.3	59.14	14.06	10.18	12,548.10
25-30	57.65	69.23	40.03	76.07	57.07	13	9.84	10,775.16
30-35	54.77	69.17	37.22	73.89	55.49	12.63	9.19	8,759.23
35-40	46.24	68.47	32.46	71	54.8	12.05	8.58	7,819.24
40-45	43.64	66.05	28.52	69.1	45.19	11.99	8.28	7,024.18
45-50	41.11	63.32	25.19	68	53.79	11.07	7.97	6,975.64
Total	567.07	718.14	382.14	803.18	580.68	139.45	98.4	127107.6
Mean	56.71	71.81	38.21	80.32	58.07	13.95	9.84	12710.76
±SD	10.23	5.89	7.21	10.54	6.24	2.17	1.30	5238.21

Table 4: Concentration of Residual heavy metals (μg /g) dry weight in sediment core from Al-

Table 5: Concentration of Residual heavy metals ($\mu g / g$) dry weight in sediment core from Abu-Alkasib

				Aikasiy				
Depth (cm)	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
0-5	69.63	81.03	38.52	98.56	64.89	17.83	15.98	2295.49
5-10	68.77	76.9	35.52	93.52	63.83	17.07	15.78	2021.49
10-15	68.75	76.52	32.47	90.6	63.78	16.96	15.32	1885.52
15-20	68.54	64.59	30.56	80.44	63.7	16.49	15.25	1782.26
20-25	58.97	61.86	30.46	80.26	60.14	16.06	13.92	1525.18
25-30	57.65	58.83	30.03	80.07	50.07	14.8	13.75	1125.65
30-35	50.77	58.57	27.82	72.89	57.49	14.63	11.95	925.57
35-40	46.24	60.47	27.46	64.73	54.8	10.95	11.87	915.97
40-45	43.64	65.05	22.52	64.1	54.19	10.2	11.2	872.83
45-50	41.11	65.32	21.89	62	44.79	10.07	10.64	770.15
Total	574.07	669.14	297.25	787.17	577.68	145.06	135.66	14120.11
Mean	57.41	66.91	29.73	78.72	57.77	14.51	13.57	1412.01
±SD	11.34	8.20	5.18	12.84	6.78	2.99	2.01	557.12

				Station				
Depth	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
(cm)								
0-5	68.95	82.07	34.37	102.87	63.06	16.72	11.88	4651.72
5-10	68.42	81.77	32.37	102.07	62.47	15.27	11.53	4351.72
10-15	66.14	78.77	32.01	98.83	60.51	14.48	10.74	3263.28
15-20	64.79	75.32	30.49	98.04	60.36	14.17	10.27	3152.16
20-25	57.95	75.21	30.21	80.16	58.58	13.74	10.07	3525.04
25-30	57.55	74.55	27.99	80.06	58.27	13.52	9.58	2996.61
30-35	56.93	73.15	24.95	81.27	55.89	13.04	9.27	2959.73
35-40	56.76	66.26	24.91	77.48	55.48	11.61	6.96	2982.53
40-45	46.31	62.55	24.07	75.26	55.16	11.18	6.49	2425.07
45-50	40.63	60.03	22.06	67.99	53.25	10.75	8.24	2119.47
Total	584.43	729.68	283.43	864.03	583.03	134.48	95.03	32427.33
Mean	58.44	72.97	28.34	86.40	58.30	13.45	9.50	3242.73
±SD	9.31	7.66	4.15	12.71	3.31	1.86	1.81	777.61

Table 6: Concentration of Residual heavy metals ($\mu g / g$) dry weight in sediment core from Al-Fao

Table 7 show that the concentrations of Total Organic Carbon(TOC%) inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Total Organic Carbon (TOC%) was ($1.67\mu g/g dry$ weight) at (0-5) in Al-Qarma station, the lowest value was ($0.049 \mu g/g dry$ weight) at (45-50cm)

in Al-Fao station, the highest mean value (0.89 μ g/g dry weight) in Abu-Alkasib station and the lowest mean value (0.36 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Fao station. There is mainly a significant Correlation between metals and TOC% as shown as table 9.

Depth	Al-Qurna	Al-Deer	Al-Qarma	Al-Ashar	Abu-Alkasib	Al-Fao
(cm)						
0-5	1.176	0.5145	1.67	1.176	1.056	0.7595
5-10	0.931	0.441	1.61	0.816	1.008	0.686
15-20	0.588	0.441	1.32	0.792	0.984	0.6125
20-25	0.539	0.4165	1.27	0.744	0.936	0.49
25-30	0.441	0.392	1.26	0.696	0.912	0.343
30-35	0.367	0.3675	1.15	0.48	0.912	0.2695
35-40	0.269	0.343	0.98	0.456	0.84	0.1715
40-45	0.196	0.319	0.94	0.336	0.768	0.098
45-45	0.147	0.269	0.9	0.216	0.768	0.098
45-50	0.12	0.25	0.82	0.144	0.72	0.049
Total	4.78	3.75	11.92	5.86	8.90	3.58
Mean	0.48	0.37	1.19	0.59	0.89	0.36
±SD	0.35	0.08	0.29	0.32	0.11	0.26

Table 7: Concentration of Total Organic Carbon (TOC%)

Table 8 shows the percentage of sand, silt, and clay is descried in the grain size analysis. The dominant of silt and clay in all the sediments in the study stations with little share of sand fraction between (1-18%) except in Al-Qurna station sediments where the sand content increase to (23%) in (30-50cm) depth, Al-Deer station sediments where the sand content increase to (36%) in (0-15cm) depth, and Al-Qarma station sediments where the sand content increase to (38%) in (0-25cm) depth and in (35-50cm). There is no significant Correlation between metals and grain size as shown as Table 9.

ے
Pharm
Chem
Biol
Sci,
Septer
nber-N
Vovemb
er 201
.6;4(
3): 4
40-449

		Al-Ourna				Al	-Deer			Al-	Oarma	
Depth(cm)	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture
0-5	%10	%74	%16	Silty clay	%35	%55	%10	Silty sand	%38	%54	8%	Silty sand
5-10	%12	%71	%17	Silty clay	%36	%56	8%	Silty sand	%36	%54	%10	Silty sand
10-15	%11	%64	%25	Silty clay	%27	%59	%14	Silty sand	%33	%55	%12	Silty sand
15-20	%12	%61	%27	Silty clay	%12	%72	%16	Silty clay	%27	%55	%18	Silty sand
20-25	%12	%63	%25	Silty clay	6%	%72	%19	Silty clay	%26	%54	%20	Silty sand
25-30	%18	%63	%19	Silty clay	6%	%74	%20	Silty clay	0%	%58	%42	Silty clay
30.35	%22	%58	%20	Silty sand	6%	%70	%24	Silty clay	%0	%60	%40	Silty clay
35-40	%22	%60	%18	Silty sand	8%	%72	%20	Silty clay	%23	%62	%15	Silty sand
40.45	%23	%63	%14	Silty sand	6%	%76	%18	Silty clay	%20	%66	%14	Silty sand
45-50	%18	%65	%17	Silty sand	6%	%76	%18	Silty clay	%21	%69	%10	Silty sand
		Al-Ashai	•			Abo-	Alkasib			Α	l-Fao	
Depth(cm)	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture
0-5	%10	%64	%26	Silty clay	%0	%91	6%	Silty clay	%1	%64	%35	Silty clay
5-10	%17	%64	%28	Silty clay	%0	06%	%10	Silty clay	%1	%64	%35	Silty clay
10-15	%11	%64	%25	Silty clay	%0	%80	%20	Silty clay	%3	%64	%33	Silty clay
15-20	%10	%64	%26	Silty clay	%1	%62	%37	Silty clay	%4	%64	%32	Silty clay
20-25	8%	%62	%30	Silty clay	%1	%62	%37	Silty clay	%2	%69	%29	Silty clay
25-30	%7	%63	%30	Silty clay	%5	%66	%29	Silty clay	%2	%70	%28	Silty clay
30.35	6%	%60	%31	Silty clay	6%	%69	%22	Silty clay	%7	%71	%22	Silty clay
35-40	%11	%61	%28	Silty clay	6%	%71	%20	Silty clay	6%	%75	%19	Silty clay
40.45	%11	%63	%26	Silty clay	%12	%70	%18	Silty clay	8%	%72	%20	Silty clay
45-50	%5	%69	%26	Silty clay	%12	%70	%18	Silty clay	9%9	%69	%22	Silty clay
*Adopt	ted from	[6]										

 Table 8: Grain Size Analysis of Sediment Core Samples in Study Stations.

Al-Shamsi*et al*

447

-Shamsi et			2
namsi et	9	2	2
msi et	c	Ľ	;
si et	Ę	2	5
4	-	<i>_</i>	
		C	•
2	5	2	2

 Table 9: Correlation coefficient

*. Cor	**. Cc	Clay	silt	Sand	toc	Fe2	Cd2	Co2	Zn2	Cr2	Cu2	Ni 2	pb 2	Fe1	Cd1	Co1	Zn 1	Cr1	Cu1	Ni 1	pb 1	
relation i	rrelation	.013	255-	.210	.238	.551**	$.520^{**}$.654**	.754**	.705***	.817***	.730***	.681**	.203	.542**	.699**	.757***	.657***	.573**	.754**	1	pb 1
s signific:	is signifi	.032	055	.038	.551**	.412**	.616**	.837**	.820**	.877**	.870**	.721**	$.911^{**}$.588**	.719**	.748**	.856**	.903**	.802**	1	.754**	Ni 1
ant at the	cant at th	.137	119	005	.703**	$.366^{**}$.503**	.721**	.706***	.801**	.729**	.508**	.858**	.837***	.884**	.820**	.745***	.884**	1	.802**	$.573^{**}$	Cu1
0.05 lev	e 0.01 le	.138	045	056	$.532^{**}$.479**	.442**	.769**	.778**	.882**	.806**	.685**	.871***	$.690^{**}$.815***	.815***	.858**		.884**	$.903^{**}$.657**	Cr1
el (2-tailo	vel (2-tai	.009	084	.077	$.408^{**}$.463**	.453**	.776***	$.814^{**}$.907***	.848**	.843**	.882**	.544***	.782**	.765***		.858**	.745***	.856**	.757**	Zn 1
ed).	led).	.098	382-	.238	.632**	.644**	.376**	.613**	.698**	.709**	.788**	.597**	.727**	.703**	.825**	1	.765**	.815***	.820**	.748**	***	C01
		.144	250	.087	.633**	.428**	.317*	.723**	.664**	.805***	.724**	.518**	.816***	.828**		.825**	.782**	.815***	.884**	.719**	$.542^{**}$	Cd1
		.072	146	.057	.815**	.172	.335***	.553**	.486**	.628***	.496***	.279*	.688**	1	.828***	.703**	.544***	.690**	.837***	.588**	.203	Fe1
		.072	120	.049	.591**	$.308^*$.592**	.831**	.801**	.937***	.830**	.730***	<u> </u>	.688**	.816**	.727***	.882**	.871***	.858**	.911**	.681**	pb 2
		059	066	.118	.231	$.301^{*}$.571**	.559**	.757***	.783**	.730**	1	.730**	.279*	.518**	.597**	.843**	.685**	.508**	.721**	.730**	Ni 2
		028	243	.233	.531**	.611**	.521**	.696**	.743**	.796**	-	.730**	.830**	.496**	.724**	.788**	.848**	.806**	.729**	.870**	.817**	Cu2
		.032	093	.059	.489**	$.306^{*}$.516**	.825**	.791**	-	.796**	.783**	.937**	.628**	.805**	.709**	.907**	.882**	.801**	.877**	.705**	Cr2
		.087	015	035	.396**	.370**	.628**	.669**	1	.791**	.743**	.757**	.801**	.486**	.664**	.698**	.814**	.778**	.706**	.820**	.754**	Zn2
		082	071	.132	.553**	$.285^{*}$.506**	1	.669**	.825**	.696**	.559**	.831**	.553**	.723**	$.613^{**}$.776**	.769**	.721**	.837**	$.654^{**}$	C02
		129	.007	.100	.523***	143	1	.506***	.628***	$.516^{**}$.521**	.571***	.592**	.335***	$.317^{*}$.376***	.453***	.442***	.503**	$.616^{**}$	$.520^{**}$	Cd2
		.201	247	.076	.186	1	143	.285*	.370**	$.306^{*}$.611**	$.301^{*}$	$.308^{*}$.172	.428**	.644**	.463**	.479**	$.366^{**}$.412**	$.551^{**}$	Fe2
		092	215	.244	1	.186	.523**	.553**	.396**	.489**	.531**	.231	.591**	.815***	.633**	.632**	.408**	.532**	.703**	.551**	.238	toc
		656-**	608-**	1	.244	.076	.100	.132	035	.059	.233	.118	.049	.057	.087	.238	.077	056	005	.038	.210	Sand
		190	1	608-**	215	247	.007	071	015	093	243	066	120	146	250	382-**	084	045	119	055	255-*	silt
		1	190	656-**	092	.201	129	082	.087	.032	028	059	.072	.072	.144	.098	.009	.138	.137	.032	.013	Clay

J Pharm Chem Biol Sci, September-November 2016; 4(3): 440-449

448

REFERENCES

- UN-ESCWA and BGR (United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia; Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe). Inventory of Shared Water Resources in Western Asia. Beirut, 2013, p56.
- Al-Hejuje, M.M. Application of water quality and pollution indices to evaluate the water and sediments status in the middle part of Shatt Al-Arab River. Ph.D. Thesis, Biology Department, College of Science, University of Basrah; 2015, p 239.
- 3. Sturgeon RE, Desaulincrs JA, Berman SS, Russell D S. Determination of trace metals in estuarine sediment by graphite furnace

atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Anal Chem Acta 1982; 134:288-291.

- Riley J P, Chester R. Introduction to Marine Chemistry. London: Academic Press; 1981, p 465.
- Al-Saad HT. Distribution and sources of hydrocarbons in Shatt Al-Arab estuary and NW Arabian Gulf , Ph. D. thesis , Basrah University , 1995, p 186.
- Al-Mahana D S. Distribution and sources of total Hydrocarbons, N-AlKane and Poly Cyclic Aromatic compounds in sediment cores of Shatt Al-Arab coast, Khor Al- Zubair and Um- Qaser, M.Sc. thesis, University of Basrah, 2015, p150.

Cite this article as:

Zahra'a Salih Radi Al-Shamsi, Abbas H. Mohammed, Hamid T. Al-Saad. Heavy Metals in Residual Sediments Core Along Shatt AL-Arab Estuary. J Pharm Chem Biol Sci 2016; 4(3): 440-449.