

Full Length Research Paper

Impact of multi drugs resistant bacteria on the pathogenesis of chronic suppurative otitis media

Ihsan E. Alsaimary^{1*}, Ahmed M. Alabbasi² and Jassim M. Najim¹

¹Department of Microbiology, College of Medicine, University of Basrah – Republic of Iraq.

²Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Basrah – Republic of Iraq.

Accepted 10 May, 2010

One hundred twenty patients with chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) in Basrah, 65 (54.2%) males and 55 (45.8%) females, with male: females ratio (1.2:1) and 60 individual without otological problems as control group were included in this study, which done during the period between March 2009 and January 2010. This includes the collection of aural swab samples, culturing of samples, identification of causative agent's species and antibiotic sensitivity. Gram's negative bacteria were the commonest microorganism comprises (60%). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was common causative agent (19.04%), followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (16.7%) and *Klebsiella* spp. (14.3%). Mixed infection was found in high percent (74%), in which *P. aeruginosa* and other microorganisms were more common. The antibiotic sensitivity pattern showed that *P. aeruginosa* was sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin +clavulanic acid and gentamicin, while other is appeared resistant, *S. aureus* was sensitive to ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin+clavulanic acid, erthomycin, cephalaxine and it is resistant to penicillin and ampicillin, *klebsiella* species were sensitive to ciprofloxacin, amoxicillin +clavulanic acid, gentamicin, while resistant to tetracycline.

Key words: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella* spp., chronic suppurative otitis media, aural swab, antibiotic sensitivity, gram s negative bacteria, otological.

INTRODUCTION

Otitis media is inflammation of the middle ear. This is commonly caused by the build up of fluid behind the ear drum, as a result of a blockage to the Eustachian tube. Otitis media is more common in children, as their Eustachian tube is shorter and more horizontal than adults and is made up of more flaccid cartilage, which can impair its opening (Bluestone and KLien, 2001). Otitis media can cause a mild to moderate hearing loss, due to the fluid interfering with the transmission of sound through to the inner ear. It can often affect the tympanic membrane causing it to retract or become inflamed. The fluid can cause the tympanic membrane to bulge and become inflamed and occasionally the tympanic membrane will perforate. There are three common types of otitis media, acute purulent otitis media, otitis media with effusion and chronic suppurative otitis media

(Berman, 1997).

CSOM, for the purposes of this document, defined as a chronic inflammation of the middle ear and mastoid cavity, which presents with recurrent ear discharges or otorrhoea through a tympanic perforation (Howard, 2007). The disease usually begins in childhood as a spontaneous tympanic perforation due to an acute infection of the middle ear, known as acute otitis media which presents with a rapid onset of signs and symptoms, such as pain, fever, irritability; a red bulging ear drum and middle ear effusion (Jahn, 1991).

In CSOM the bacteria may be aerobic (e.g. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Klebsiella* species) or anaerobic (e.g. *Bacteroides*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Propionibacterium*) (Saunders et al., 2009; Brook, 1996). The present studies aimed to identify the bacterial pathogens associated with CSOM, study the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of antibiotic against bacterial pathogen, and determined the

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ilsanalsaim@yahoo.com.

Table 1. Bacterial types isolated from healthy person (control group).

| Microorganisms | No. of isolates | (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| <i>Klebsiella spp.</i> | 2* | 4 |
| <i>S. Spp.</i> | 3 | 6 |
| <i>E. coli spp.</i> | 2 | 4 |
| <i>Bacillus spp.</i> | 8 | 16 |
| <i>S. epidemidis.</i> | 20 | 40 |
| <i>Corynebacterium spp</i> | 15 | 30 |
| No growth | 10 | 16.66 |
| Total | 60 | 100% |

* P<0.01

Table 2. Bacterial type isolated from patients with CSOM.

| Caustive agents | No. of isolates | (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| <i>P. aeruginosa.</i> | 40* | 19.41 |
| <i>S.aureus.</i> | 35 | 16.99 |
| <i>Klebsiella spp.</i> | 30 | 14.56 |
| <i>B.catarrhalis.</i> | 20 | 9.70 |
| <i>Proteus spp.</i> | 20 | 9.70 |
| <i>H.influenzae.</i> | 20 | 9.70 |
| <i>Streptococcus spp.</i> | 15 | 7.28 |
| <i>E.coli spp.</i> | 10 | 4.85 |
| <i>Corynebacterium spp.</i> | 08 | 3.88 |
| <i>Bacillus spp.</i> | 08 | 3.88 |
| Total No. of isolates | 206 | 100 |

** $\chi^2=49.8$ p < 0.01

mode of bacterial isolation and multi drugs resistant bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 120 patients with CSOM were included in this study, the diagnosis of CSOM was carried out according to clinical examination by otoscopic and tuning fork examination, and audiological investigation (pure tone audiometry and tympanometry under supervision of specialists of ENT. Microbiological investigation includes (culture, identification of causative agents and antibiotic sensitivity. The study was carried out in Basrah General Hospital, out patients E.N.T. clinic, during the period from March 2009 - January 2010.

Control group

A total of 60 individuals without otological problems, 30 males and 30 females in various age group, they were regarded as a control group.

Sampling

Two groups were included in this study: Group (1) 120 aural swabs were taken from infected ear of CSOM patients. Group (2) 60 aural swab were taken from a control group. Swabs were taken under sterile condition and transfer immediately to the laboratory by brain heart broth for aerobic bacteria, thioglycollate broth for anaerobic bacteria, and cultured on suitable media at 37°C for 24 - 48 h. Primary isolation on (Blood agar, chocolate agar, nutrient agar), then on selective media identification and biochemical characterization were carried out according to standard routine techniques (Fingole and Baron, 2002). Note: All media are sterilized by autoclave (121°C under 15 lbs pressure for 15 min). Antibiotics disc include:

- 1- Penicillin G 10 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 2- Erythromycin 15 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 3- Tetracycline 30 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 4- Ciproflaxin 5 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 5- Gentamicine 10 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 6- Ampicillin 10 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 7- Augmentin 20 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 8- Trimethoprim 25 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 9- Streptomycin 10 mg (Bioanalyse).
- 10- Lincomycin 2 mg (Bioanalyse).

Statistical analysis

In order to determine the statistical significance among different variables, SPSS program (statistical program for social sciences) ver.11, was used for this purpose. The following statistical testes were performed: Chi-square (χ^2) test and the difference between two proportions by T-test were used to assess the significance of difference between groups. P-value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant (S), p-value < 0.01 as highly significant and (HS), p-value < 0.001 as extremely significant (ES). p-value more than 0.05 was considered as statistically not significant (NS).

RESULTS

Table 1 show results of isolated bacterial from (60) healthy persons. The following bacteria were isolated, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 20 isolates (40%), followed by *Corynebacterium* species 15 isolate (30%). Other types distributed according to species in Tables 3 - 8. Ten samples gave negative result for bacteria culture (16.66%).

Pathogenic bacteria isolated from patients with CSOM

The occurrence of various bacterial isolate among CSOM patients shown in Table 2 presents that *P. aeruginosa* was more frequently isolates 40 (19.41%), while *S. aureus* followed by *Pseudomonas* 35 (16.99%), *Klebsiella* 30 (14.56%) *Branhamella catarrhalis* 20 (9.70%), *Proteus* 20(9.70%), *Haemophilus influenzae* 20(9.70%), *Streptococcal* spp. 15(7.28%), *E. coli* 10(4.85%), *Corynebacterium* 8 (3.88), and *Bacillus* 8 (3.88).

Table 3. Relationship between caustive agents and hearing loss.

| Causative agent | No. of isolates with Hearing Loss (%) | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|---------|
| | No. of isolates | Not applicable | CHL | MXHL | SNHL |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> | 40(20) | 6(15) | 16(40) | 10(25) | 8(20) |
| <i>S. aureus</i> | 35(17.5) | 4(11.4) | 15(42.8) | 9(25.7) | 7(20) |
| <i>Klebsiella spp</i> | 30(15) | 3(10) | 12(40) | 8(26.6) | 7(23.3) |
| <i>B. catarrhalis</i> | 20(10) | 3(15) | 6(30) | 6(30) | 5(25) |
| <i>Proteus spp</i> | 18(9) | 2(11.1) | 9(50) | 4(22.2) | 3(16.6) |
| <i>H. influenzae</i> | 16(8) | 4(25) | 6(37.5) | 3 (18.7) | 3(18.7) |
| <i>Streptococcal spp</i> | 15(7.5) | 2(13.3) | 6(40) | 4(26.6) | 3(20) |
| <i>E. coli spp</i> | 10(5) | 3(30) | 4(40) | 2(20) | 1(10) |
| <i>Corynebacterium spp</i> | 8(4) | 1(12) | 3(37) | 2(25) | 2(25) |
| <i>Bacillus spp</i> | 8(4) | 2(25) | 3(37) | 2(25) | 1(12) |

CHL: Conductive hearing loss, SNHL: Senserineural hearing loss and MXHL: Mixed hearing loss.

Table 4. Standard antibiotic susceptibility test according to diameters of inhibition zone supplied by bioanalysis company.

| Antimicrobial agent | Symbol | Conc. mcg | Zone diameter (mm). | |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Sensitive | Resistant |
| Ciprofloxacin. | (cip) | 10 | 20 or less | 29 or more |
| Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid. | (AMC) | 20 | 19 or less | 20 or more |
| Gentamicin | (CN) | 10 | 10 or less | 15 or more |
| Vancomycin | (VA) | 30 | 9 or less | 12 or more |
| Lincomycin. | (L) | 2 | 9 or less | 15 or more |
| Cephalexin | (CL) | 30 | 14 or less | 18 or more |
| Penicillin | (p) | 10 | 11 or less. | 22 or more |
| Erythromycin | (E) | 15 | 13 or less. | 18 or more |
| Ampicillin | (AM) | 10 | (11-21) or less. | (14 - 30) or more |
| Tetracycline | (T) | 30 | | 19 or more |
| Streptomycin | (s) | 10 | 14 or less. | 15 or more |
| Trimethoprim+ sulphamethoxazole | (SXT) | 1.25 | 11 or less. | 16 or more |

Bacterial pathogens and hearing impairment

The occurrence of various caustive agents isolates among CSOM patients in three types of hearing loss (CHL, SNHL, MXHL) are shown in Table 3. *P. aeruginosa* was more frequently isolated in senserineural and profound hearing loss (25 - 26.2%), while in conductive and mixed hearing loss (16.7 - 20.4%) *S. aureus* isolates, appeared more frequently among CSOM patients with conductive and mixed hearing loss (20.4 - 25%) than in senserineura and profound hearing loss (12.5 - 15%) *Klebsiella* species and other organisms isolated in various percentages from these three types of hearing loss.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *P. aeruginosa*

Table 5 show that the frequency of Ciprofloxacin,

Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid (Augmentin) and Gentamicin are statistically significantly higher than other types of antibiotics. $P < 0.01$ in percentages of sensitivity between (50 - 75%) ($p < 0.01$), while 88% of *P. aeruginosa* isolates was resist by trimethoprim, 85% to Streptomycin, and 80% to Vancomycin, while other pattern of resistance were between 25 - 78% of various antibiotics $p < 0.01$.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *S. aureus*

Table 6 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency sensitivity of Ciprofloxacin, Augmenten, Cephalexin and Penicillin (57 - 80%) were statistically significantly higher sensitive than other antibiotic. $P < 0.01$, while 83% of *S. aureus* isolates was resist to trimethoprim, 83% to Streptomycin, and 83% to Vancomycin, while other pattern of resistance were between 20 - 77% of various

Table 5. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 40 | 30*(75) | 10 (25) |
| Augmentin | 40 | 21(52.5) | 19(47.5) |
| Gentamicin | 40 | 20(50) | 20(50) |
| Vancomycin | 40 | 8(20) | 32(80) |
| Lincomycin | 40 | 9(22.5) | 31(77.5) |
| Cephalexin | 40 | 11(27.5) | 29(72.5) |
| Penicillin | 40 | 10(25) | 30(75) |
| Erythromycin | 40 | 12(30) | 28(70) |
| Ampicillin | 40 | 14(35) | 26(65) |
| Tetracycline | 40 | 13(32.5) | 27(67.5) |
| Streptomycin | 40 | 6(15) | 34(85) |
| Trimethoprim | 40 | 5(12.5) | 35(87.5) |

$\chi^2 = 25$ $p < 0.01$.

Table 6. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *S. aureus*.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 35 | 20*(57.15) | 15(42.85) |
| Augmentin | 35 | 20(57.15) | 15(42.85) |
| Gentamicin | 35 | 15(42.85) | 20(57.15) |
| Vancomycin | 35 | 6(17.14) | 29(82.86) |
| lincomycin | 35 | 8(22.85) | 27(77.15) |
| Cephalexin | 35 | 20(57.15) | 15(42.85) |
| Penicillin | 35 | 12(34.28) | 23(65.72) |
| Erythromycin | 35 | 28(80) | 07(20) |
| Ampicillin | 35 | 10(28.57) | 25(71.43) |
| Tetracycline | 35 | 10(28.57) | 25(71.43) |
| Streptomycin | 35 | 6(17.14) | 29(82.86) |
| Trimethoprim | 35 | 6(17.14) | 29(82.86) |

$\chi^2 = 6.9$ $p < 0.01$.

Table 7. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Klebsiella spp.*

| Drugs type | No of isolated | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 30 | 20* (66.66) | 10 (33.34) |
| Augmentin | 30 | 21(70) | 9(30) |
| Gentamicin | 30 | 16(53.33) | 14(46.67) |
| Vancomycin | 30 | 06(20) | 24(80) |
| lincomycin | 30 | 08(26.66) | 22(73.34) |
| Cephalexin | 30 | 12(40) | 18(60) |
| Penicillin | 30 | 10(33.34) | 20(66.66) |
| Erythromycin | 30 | 09(30) | 21(70) |
| Ampicillin | 30 | 11(36.66) | 19(63.34) |
| Tetracycline | 30 | 10(33.34) | 20(66.66) |
| Streptomycin | 30 | 10(33.34) | 20(66.66) |
| Trimethoprim | 30 | 08(26.66) | 22(73.33) |

$\chi^2 = 25$ $p < 0.01$.

antibiotics $p < 0.01$.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *Klebsiella spp.*

Table 7 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency of sensitivity of Ciprofloxacin and Augmentin (67 - 70%) were statistically significantly higher than other type of antibiotic drugs. ($p < 0.01$), while 73% of *Klebsiella spp* isolates was resist to trimethoprim, 70% to Erythromycin, and 80% to Vancomycin, while other pattern of resistance were between 30 - 73% of various antibiotics $p < 0.01$.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *B. catarrhalis*

Table 8 shows that in each drugs group, Ciprofloxacin, Augmentin, Cephalexin, Ampicillin, Gentamicin were statistically significantly higher sensitivity (50 - 75%)

Table 8. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Branhamella* spp.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 20 | 15* (75) | 05 (25) |
| Augmentin | 20 | 15(75) | 05(25) |
| Gentamicin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Vancomycin | 20 | 06(30) | 14(70) |
| lincomycin | 20 | 08(40) | 12(60) |
| Cephalexin | 20 | 12(60) | 08(40) |
| Penicillin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Erythromycin | 20 | 09(45) | 11(55) |
| Ampicillin | 20 | 11(55) | 09(45) |
| Tetracycline | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Streptomycin | 20 | 06(30) | 14(70) |
| Trimethoprim | 20 | 05(25) | 15(75) |

 $\chi^2 = 25$ p < 0.01.

Table 9. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Proteus* spp.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 20 | 14*(70) | 06(30) |
| Augmentin | 20 | 12(60) | 08(40) |
| Gentamicin | 20 | 12(60) | 08(40) |
| Vancomycin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| lincomycin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Cephalexin | 20 | 08(40) | 12(60) |
| Penicillin | 20 | 05(25) | 15(75) |
| Erythromycin | 20 | 08(40) | 12(60) |
| Ampicillin | 20 | 05(25) | 15(75) |
| Tetracycline | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Streptomycin | 20 | 08(40) | 12(60) |
| Trimethoprim | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |

 $\chi^2 = 25$ p < 0.01.

against *Branhamella* spp than other type of antibiotic (p < 0.01), while 75% of *Branhamella* spp isolates was resist to trimethoprim, 70% to Streptomycin, and 70% to Vancomycin, while other pattern of resistance were between 25 - 60 of various antibiotics p<0.01.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *Proteus* spp.

Table 9 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency of Ciprofloxacin, Augmentin, Gentamicin and Trimethoprim were statistically significantly higher effective against *Proteus* spp than other type of Antibiotics, (60 - 70%) sensitive (p < 0.01), while 75% of *Proteus* spp isolates was resist to Ampicillin, 70% Erythromycin, and 75% to Penicillin, while other pattern of resistance were between

Table 10. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Heamophilus* spp.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 20 | 15* (75) | 5 (25) |
| Augmentin | 20 | 12(60) | 8(40) |
| Gentamicin | 20 | 12(60) | 8(40) |
| Vancomycin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Lincomycin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Cephalexin | 20 | 8(40) | 12(60) |
| Penicillin | 20 | 8(40) | 12(60) |
| Erythromycin | 20 | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| Ampicillin | 20 | 6(30) | 14(70) |
| Tetracycline | 20 | 6(30) | 14(70) |
| Streptomycin | 20 | 4(20) | 16(80) |
| Trimethoprim | 20 | 7(35) | 13(65) |

 $\chi^2 = 25$ p < 0.01.

30 - 60% of various antibiotics p < 0.01.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *H. influenzae*

Table 10 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency of Ciprofloxacin, Augmentin, Gentamicin, Vancomycin and Lincomycin (50 - 75%) were statistically significantly higher sensitive drugs against *H. influenzae* than other (p < 0.01), while 80% of *H. influenzae* isolates was resist to Streptomycin, 70% Tetracycline, and 70% to Ampicillin, while other pattern of resistance were between 25 - 65% of various antibiotics p < 0.01.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *Streptococcus* spp.

Table 11 shows that in each drugs group the frequency of Ciprofloxacin, Augmentin, Penicillin, Erythromycin and tetracycline were statistically significant higher sensitive (67 - 80%) than other type of Antibiotics (p < 0.01), while 60%, of *Streptococcus* spp isolates was resist to Trimethoprim, 53.33% to Streptomycin and 46% to Ampicillin, while other pattern of resistance were between 20 - 40% of various antibiotics p < 0.01.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *E. coli*

Table 12 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency of Ciprofloxacin, Augmentin, Gentamicin, Lincomycin and Cephalexin were statistically significantly higher sensitive drugs (60 - 80%) against *E. coli* than other type of drugs (p < 0.01), while 80%, of *E. coli* spp isolates was resist to Streptomycin, 60% to Trimethoprim and 60% to Ampicillin, Erythromycin and penicillin, while other pattern of resistance were between 20 - 40% of various

Table 11. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Streptococcus spp.*

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 15 | 12* (80.00) | 3(20.00) |
| Augmentin | 15 | 10(66.66) | 5(33.34) |
| Gentamicin | 15 | 10(66.66) | 5(33.34) |
| Vancomycin | 15 | 9(60.00) | 6(40.00) |
| Lincomycin | 15 | 9(60.00) | 6(40.00) |
| Cephalexin | 15 | 10(66.66) | 5(33.34) |
| Penicillin | 15 | 10(66.66) | 5(33.34) |
| Erythromycin | 15 | 10(66.66) | 5(33.34) |
| Ampicillin | 15 | 8(53.33) | 7(46.67) |
| Tetracyclin | 15 | 9(60.00) | 6(40.00) |
| Streptomycin | 15 | 7(46.67) | 8(53.33) |
| Trimethoprim | 15 | 6(40.00) | 9(60.00) |

$\chi^2 = 10.8$ $p < 0.01$.

Table 12. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli*.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 10 | 8* (80) | 2(20) |
| Augmentin | 10 | 8(80) | 2(20) |
| Gentamicin | 10 | 8(80) | 2(20) |
| Vancomycin | 10 | 6(60) | 4(40) |
| Lincomycin | 10 | 6(60) | 4(40) |
| Cephalexin | 10 | 6(60) | 4(40) |
| Penicillin | 10 | 4(40) | 6(60) |
| Erythromycin | 10 | 4(40) | 6(60) |
| Ampicillin | 10 | 4(40) | 6(60) |
| Tetracycline | 10 | 4(40) | 6(60) |
| Streptomycin | 10 | 2(20) | 8(80) |
| Trimethoprim | 10 | 4(40) | 6(60) |

$\chi^2 = 25$ $p < 0.01$.

antibiotics $p < 0.01$.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *Corynebacterium spp.*

Table 13 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency of Ciprofloxacin, Cephalexin, Erythromycin, Ampicillin and Penicillin were statistically significantly higher sensitive drugs (75%) against *Corynebacterium spp* ($p < 0.01$), while 63%, of *Corynebacterium spp* isolates was resist to Lincomycin, 63% to Vancomycin and 50% to Gentamicin and Cephalexin, while other pattern of resistance were between 25 - 38% of various antibiotics $p < 0.01$.

Antibiotic sensitivity of *Bacillus spp.*

Table 14 shows that in each drugs group, the frequency of Ciprofloxacin, Erythromycin, Ampicillin, and

Table 13. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Corynebacterium spp.*

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 8 | 6* (75.0) | 2(25.0) |
| Augmentin | 8 | 6(75.0) | 2(25.0) |
| Gentamicin | 8 | 4(50.0) | 4(50.0) |
| Vancomycin | 8 | 3(37.5) | 5(62.5) |
| Lincomycin | 8 | 3(37.5) | 5(62.5) |
| Cephalexin | 8 | 4(50.0) | 4(50.0) |
| Penicillin | 8 | 6(75.0) | 2(25.0) |
| Erythromycin | 8 | 6(75.0) | 2(25.0) |
| Ampicillin | 8 | 6(75.0) | 2(25.0) |
| Tetracycline | 8 | 4(50.0) | 4(50.0) |
| Streptomycin | 8 | 5(62.5) | 3(37.5) |
| Trimethoprim | 8 | 5(62.5) | 3(37.5) |

$\chi^2 = 45.4$ $p < 0.01$.

Trimethoprim were statistically significantly higher sensitive drugs (75%) against *Bacillus spp* than other type of drugs ($p < 0.01$), while 50%, of *Bacillus spp* isolates was resist to Lincomycin, 50% to Vancomycin and 50% to Cephalexin, Penicillin and Streptomycin, while other pattern of resistance were 25 - 37.5% of various antibiotics $p < 0.01$.

Types of infection according to number of causative agent

Table 15 shows that the frequency of double causative agents (55 isolates, 45.83%) was statistically significantly higher than single causative agent (38 isolates, 31.66%), three causative agents (18 isolates, 15%) and more than three (9 isolates, 7.5%). There was no difference between male and female in the frequency of various types of mode of isolates.

Table 14. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Bacillus* spp.

| Drugs type | No. of isolates | Sensitive (%) | Resistant (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 8 | 6 *(75) | 2(25) |
| Augmentin | 8 | 4(50) | 4(50) |
| Gentamicin | 8 | 6(75) | 2(25) |
| Vancomycin | 8 | 4(50) | 4(50) |
| Lincomycin | 8 | 4(50) | 4(50) |
| Cephalexin | 8 | 4(50) | 4(50) |
| Penicillin | 8 | 4(50) | 4(50) |
| Erythromycin | 8 | 6(75) | 2(25) |
| Ampicillin | 8 | 6(75) | 2(25) |
| Tetracycline | 8 | 5(62.5) | 3(37.5) |
| Streptomycin | 8 | 4(50) | 4(50) |
| Trimethoprim | 8 | 6(75) | 2(25) |

$\chi^2=1.26$ $P>0.05$.

Table 15. Modes of isolation of the bacterial pathogens among patients with CSOM.

| Modes of isolated | Male | Female | Total |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| | No. of patients (%) | | |
| Single causative agent | 18*(15.00) | 20 (16.66) | 38(31.66) |
| Double causative agent | 30(25.00) | 25 (20.83) | 55(45.83) |
| Three causative agent | 12 (10.00) | 6 (05.00) | 18(15.00) |
| More than three | 5(04.16) | 4 (03.33) | 9(07.50) |
| Total | 65(54.16) | 55(45.83) | 120(100) |

*p < 0.01.

Bacterial agents and antibiotics

Table 16 shows that in each isolates group the frequency of susceptibility to antibiotic. *P. aeruginosa* was statistically significantly higher resistance than other bacterial isolates (10.19%) followed by *S. aureus* (8.73%), *Klebsiella* (7.76%), *B. catarrhalis*, *Proteus* spp, *H. influenza* (6.97%), *Streptococcal* spp. (4.85%), *Corynebacterium* (0.9%) and *Bacillus* spp. (0.9%) p < 0.01.

DISCUSSION

Chronic suppurative otitis media was develops from a chronic bacterial infection. However, the bacteria that caused the initial episode of acute otitis media with perforation are usually not those isolated from the chronic discharge when there is a chronic infection in the middle ear and mastoid infection usually polymicrobial and secondary in nature, derived from the external auditory canal or commensal flora of nasopharynx (Bluestone and Klien, 2001). The infection causes a build up of fluid in the middle ear. The pressure exerted by this fluid can build up to the point where the ear drum perforated. The

fluid build up and ear drum perforation inhibit the transmission or conduction of sound through the ear (Howard, 2007).

Our result goes with the study which was done by Guo (1994); Engel (1998), that show most patients with CSOM infected by more than one pathogenic bacteria leading to hearing loss, about 40 patients, (33.4%) of patients with CSOM suffered from bilateral hearing loss, while (80 patients, 66.6) of patients with CSOM have unilateral hearing. Guo et al. (1994) studied found the effect of endotoxic damage to the stria vascularis and concluded that lipopolysaccharide induced by stria ototoxicity produced ion imbalance, causing changes in endolymph composition and energy failure in the middle and inner ears organ explaining the pathogenesis of hearing loss in CSOM.

Engel et al. (1998) studied the passage of streptolysin-O and albumin through the round window membrane and proposed that the passage of macromolecule, such as protease, from a purulent middle ear effusion may be facilitated by pore forming toxins, resulting in middle and inner ear organs damage and hearing loss. Karma et al. (1978) have used gram stain not only to confirm the presence of cultured bacteria but to detected and identify

Table 16. Relationship between causative agents and antibiotics (resistance patterns).

| Bacterial isolate Type | No. of Isolates | Susceptibility to drugs | | | | | | | | Total | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|
| | | (1) drug | | (2) drugs | | (3) drugs | | More than (3) drugs | | | |
| | | R | S | R | S | R | S | R | S | R | S |
| <i>Ps.aeruginosa</i> | 40 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 21(10.19) | 19(9.22) |
| <i>Staph. aureus</i> | 35 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 18(8.73) | 17(8.25) |
| <i>Klebsiela</i> | 30 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 16(7.76) | 14(6.79) |
| <i>Br.catarrhalis</i> | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 14(6.97) | 6(2.91) |
| <i>Proteus spp</i> | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 14(6.97) | 6(2.91) |
| <i>H.influenza</i> | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 14(6.97) | 6(2.91) |
| <i>Strept..spp</i> | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10(4.85) | 5(2.42) |
| <i>E.coli spp</i> | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6(2.91) | 4(1.94) |
| <i>Corynebacterium spp</i> | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2(0.9) | 6(2.91) |
| <i>Bacillus spp</i> | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2(0.9) | 6(2.91) |

them as well, gram stain smear were obtain from 108 ear swab; in 98 (91%) of them bacteria were found, seven of the 108 ear swab (6%) were devoid of bacteria both in culture and in the gram stain. Papastavros et al. (1986) indicated that this practice considerable error, because non viable bacteria can be as equally incriminated as the main pathogens present, furthermore, if the patients is under antimicrobial treatment. In our study, we found that, the different type of bacterial flora in the external canal were founded, *S. epidermidis* is the most common (20 isolates, 40%), followed by *Corynebacterium* species about (15 isolates, 30%), while other type have various percentages of isolation. Our result is agreed with (Pelton et al., 1980; Brook et al., 1996), while, it is against the result is of (Saunders et al., 2009). Pelton et al., (1980); Brook et al. (1981) showed that the predominant microflora were *S. epidermidis*, *diphtheroid*, and *S. aureus*.

In the present study, the number of *P. aeruginosa* isolates was (40 isolates, 19.41%). our result agreement with studies done by (Aslam et al., 2004); (Verhoeff, 2006) that *Pseudomonas* most common agents in patients with CSOM, and not approved with (Saunders et al., 2009) found *S. epidermidis* most common causative agents. Aslam et al. (2004) showed that *P. aeruginosa* is the most common isolates from infected mastoid cavity and chronic otitis media and the most common aerobic bacteria isolated from chronic suppurative otitis media. Verhoeff et al. (2006), stated that *P. aeruginosa* was the most prevalent bacteriological agent in chronic otitis media, followed by *S. aureus*. Saunders et al. (2009) stated that *S. epidermidis* species was the most prevalence bacteriological agent in chronic otitis media.

In this study we found that *S. aureus* (35 isolates, 16.99%) followed *P. aeruginosa* in their incidence, our result agree with study done by (Aslam et al., 2006), while against the study done by (Saunders et al., 2009). Saunders et al. (2009) found that *S. epidermidis* (6%) was the most common bacteria isolated from patients

with suppurative otitis media, followed by methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (3%) and *P. aeruginosa* (1%). In our study, we found that *Klebsiella* species isolated from patients suffering from chronic suppurative otitis media was (30 isolates, 14.56%). our patients infected by Enterobacteriaceae such as *Klebsiella* species, most of them are among children and infants group, because the Eustachian tube in children are shorter and wider than adult. Bluestone et al. (1974) showed that young children have shorter, straighter and more compliant Eustachian tube than adult; this permits a reflex from nasopharynx to the middle ear with the consequence of bacterial contamination. Brook and Yocum (1989) found that *Klebsiella* species (6.2%) isolate from patients with CSOM, while Ostfeld and Rubinstein (1980) stated that (20%) of *Klebsiella* species presented in young infant with acute otitis media, but rarely appear in the middle ear effusion of older children with otitis media.

In our work, we found that *B. catarrhalis* was (20 isolates, 9.7%). Faden (1994) found that, *Moraxella catarrhalis* or *B. catarrhalis* were common organisms, *Diplococcus* are considered as part of the normal flora of human upper respiratory tract, classified as causative agents to middle ear infection; it had constituted approximately 10% of all isolates. Hanan (2000) showed that *M. catarrhalis* secreted lactamases (cephalosporinases) may protect these bacteria and other type from antimicrobial agents to which the second target pathogen ordinarily might be susceptible, which can be differentiated from the other *Neisseriae* spp by its lack of carbohydrate fermentation and by its DNase production. In our study, we found *proteus* species isolated (20 isolates 9.7%). Iseh and Adegbite (2004) found that *proteus* species (12.8) isolated from 41 patients with acute suppurative otitis media. Vaishnav and changani (1981), found that *Proteus* species with highest incidence (44%) of isolates from 100 cases with CSOM.

In our result, we found that *H. influenzae* was (20 isolates 9.7%), while *S. pneumonia* (15 isolates, 7.28%). Yamanaka et al. (2008) showed that *H. influenzae* and *Streptococcus pneumonia* are the most prevalent organisms responsible for acute otitis media. However, most studies from different parts of Africa suggest various bacterial pathogens as causative agents. Hence, *S. aureus* and *S. pyogenes* appear to be the most dominant causative organisms among Africans Hussain et al. (1991). Bluestone and Klein (2001) found that *S. pneumonia* and *H. influenzae* are the most common bacteria species causing middle ear infection in acute otitis media. Some European studies found *H. influenzae* to be the most common organism followed by *S. pneumonia* and *B. catarrhalis* (Gray and Canter, 1997). In our result, we found that, the frequency of *E. coli* was (10 isolates, 4.85%) isolated from patients with chronic suppurative otitis media. *E. coli* belong to enterobacteriaceae, pathogenic causative agent in acute suppurative otitis media in children and infant (Bluestone, 1990). Iseh (2004) found *E. coli* in patients with acute suppurative otitis media second causative agent, Ear swab was cultured in only 41 patients (36%). *S. aureus* (46.2%) was the commonest bacteria cultured followed by *E. coli* (23.1%). In our result, we found that *Corynebacterium* and *Bacillus* species were (8 isolates 3.88%), for each presents in external canal and middle ear cleft as opportunistic normal flora in individual without otological problems. (Brook and Schwartz, 1981) showed that *Corynebacterium* species was predominant in external canal and middle ear cleft, while (Kuroko et al., 1988) isolated 12 different bacterial species, in which *Bacillus subtilis* from middle ear cleft and external canal.

The organisms that cause otitis media become more resistant to antibiotic, for example, according to recent studies, between (30 - 60%) of *S. pneumoniae* bacteria is now partially resistant to the antibiotic such as penicillin and amoxicillin. Antibiotic lose their effectiveness in children who have been continuously treated with them in a short period of time. Ciprofloxacin and Augmentin (amoxicillin-clavulanic acid) is more abundant bactericidal agent for many gram positive and gram negative bacteria in AOM, CSOM. Gehaanno (1997); Winter (1994) (90 - 95%) of cases of Acute otitis media (AOM) with otorrhea occur in children aged (1 - 12) years, and typically (2 - 6) episodes of AOM. Ciprofloxacin is an effective and safe therapy for AOM and chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) (Force et al., 1995). The efficacy and safety of a combination of topical dexamethasone 0.1% and ciprofloxacin 0.3% in children with (AOM), otorrhea resolved more rapidly with combination preparation than with ciprofloxacin alone and produce significantly greater clinical responses early after completion of seven days course of treatment (Zipfel, 1999).

In our study we noted that, Ciprofloxacin, (Amoxicillin+ clavulanic acid), Augmentin, Gentamicin were broad spectrum antibiotic (70 - 80%) sensitive to different species of gram negative and gram positive bacteria in CSOM.

Topical treatment is better than systemic therapy; this is probably because a higher local concentration of antibiotic is achieved. Macfadyen et al. (2006) the antibiotic should have activity against gram negative bacteria, especially *Pseudomonas*, and gram positive bacteria, especially *S. aureus*. The amino glycosides and the fluoroquinolones, both of them meet these criteria but the former may be ototoxic, failures of the antibiotic are usually due to failure to penetration of the debris rather than bacterial resistance. Marais et al. (1998). Amino-glycosides are contraindicated; there is evidence that they may cause hearing loss (Bance et al., 2005).

REFERENCE

- Al-Hadithi H, Al-Saïmary I (1992). Practical Bacteriology 2nd ed. University of Basrah., Press, (In Arabic) pp. 256-257.
- Aslam MA, Ahmed Z, Azim R (2004). Microbiology and drug sensitivity patterns of chronic suppurative otitis media. J. Coll. Physicians Surg. Pak., 14(8): 459-461.
- Bance M, Rutka JA (2005). Topical treatment for otorrhea: issues and controversies. J. Otolaryngol., 34(2): 52-55.
- Berman S (1997). Classification and criteria of Otitis Media. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. (Suppl.), 3: 1-4.
- Bluestone CD, Klein JO (2001). Microbiology. In: Bluestone CD, Klein JO, eds. Otitis Media in Infants and Children. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders., pp. 79-1014.
- Bluestone CD, Klien J (1990). Otitis media, atelectasis and Eustachian tube dysfunction. In: Bluestone CD, Stool SE (eds). Pediatric otolaryngology. Saunders; Philadelphia., pp. 320-447.
- Bluestone CD, Beery QC, Andrus WS (1974). Mechanics of the Eustachian tube as it influences susceptibility to and persistence of middle ear effusions in children. Ann. Oto. Rhinol. Laryngol., 83: 1-4.
- Brook I, Frazier E (1996). Microbial dynamics of persistent purulent otitis media in children. J. Pediatrics., 128(2): 237-240.
- Brook I, Yocum P (1989). Quantitative bacterial culture and Beta-lactamase activity in chronic suppurative otitis media Ann. otol. Rhinol. Laryngol., 98: 293.
- Brook I, Schwartz R (1981). Anaerobic bacteria in acute otitis media Acta otolaryngol., 91: 111.
- Canter RJ (1997). Acute suppurative otitis media. In: Booth JB (ed). Scott-Brown's otolaryngology. Butterworths, London, 3(9): 1-7.
- Charman C, Rand William HC (2002). Epidemiology. in: Bieber, T. and Leung, D.Y.M. Atopic dermatitis. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York., pp. 21-42.
- Faden H, Harabuchi Y, Hong JJ, Pediatrics TW (1994). Epidemiology of Moraxella catarrhalis in children during the first 2 years of life: Relationship to otitis media. J. Infect. Dis, 169: 1312-1317.
- Fingold SM, Baron EJ, Baily Scots (2002). Diagnostic microbiology 10th ed. Toronto, St-Louis: m Moby company. pp.150-170.
- Force RW, Hart MC, Plummer SA (1995). Topical ciprofloxacin for otorrhea after tympanostomy tube. Placement Archotolaryngol. head Neck surg., 121: 880-884.
- Gehaanno P (1997). The French study group, efficacy and safety of ciprofloxacin in the treatment of CSOM in adult. otolaryngol Head Neck Surg., 117: 83-90.
- Gray RF (1997). Acute and chronic suppurative otitis media in children. In: Adams DA, Cinnamon MJ (eds). Scott-Brown's otolaryngology: paediatric otolaryngology. Butterworths, London., 6(8): 1-21.
- Guo Y, Wu Y, Chen W (1994). Endotoxine damage to the stria vascularis the pathogenesis of SNHL secondary to otitis media JLO., 108(4): 310-330.
- Hanan A (2000). Babay, isolation of Moraxella catarrhalis in patients at King Khalid University Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Med. J. 21: 860-863.
- Howard D (2007). Intercultural communication and Conductive hearing loss. J. First Peoples Child Fam. Rev., 3(4): 97.
- Hussain MA, Ali EM, Ahmed HS (1991). Otitis media in Sudanese

- children: presentation and bacteriology. *East Afr. Med. J.* 68(9): 679-685.
- Iseh KR, Adegbite T (2004). Acute suppurative otitis media : A clinical profile Sokoto, Nigeria. *Annals Med. J.*, 4: 164-166.
- Jahn AF (1991). Chronic otitis media: diagnosis and treatment. *Med. Clin. North Am.*, 75(6): 1277-1291.
- Karma P, Jokipii L, Ojaka K, Jokipii AM (1978). Bacteriology of the chronically discharge middle ear. *Acta. Otolaryngol.*, 86: 110.
- Kurono Y, Tomonago K, Magi C (1988). *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in otitis media with effusion. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head. Neck. Surg.* 114: 1262.
- Macfadyen CA, Acuin JM, Gamble C (2006). Systemic antibiotic ,topical treatments for chronically discharging ears with underlying eardrum perforation., (1) :CD005608.
- Marais J, Rutka JA (1998). Ototoxicity and topical ear drop. *Clin. Otolaryngol. Allied Sci.* Aug., 23(4): 360-367.
- Ostfeld E, Rubinstien E (1980). Acute Gram-Negative Bacillary Infection of Middle Ear and Mastoid. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.*, pp. 89: 33.
- Papastavros T, Minneapolis.Giamarellou H, Variejides S (1986). Role of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms in chronic suppurative otitis media laryngoscope., 7(5): 438.
- Pelton SI, Teele DW, Shurin PA, Klein JO (1980). Disparate culture of middle ear fluids. *Am. J. Dis. Child.*, 134: 951.
- Saunders JE, Raju RP, Boone J, Berryhill W (2009). Current Bacteriology of Suppurative Otitis : Resistant Patterns and outcomes Analysis, *Otol. Neurotol.*, 30(3): 339-343.
- Vaishnav SK, Chhangani DL (1981). Evaluation of bacteriological status in chronic suppurative otitis media, *Indian. J. Pathol. Microbial.*, 24: 113.
- Verhoeff M, Van der V, Rovers MM (2006). Chronic suppurative otitis media: A Rev. *Int. J. Pediatric Otorhinolaryngol.*, 70(1): 1-12.
- Wintermayer SM, Nahata MC (1994). Chronic suppurative otitis media . *Ann. Pharmacother.*, 28: 1089-1099.
- Yamanaka N, Hotomi M, Billal DS (2008). Clinical bacteriology and immunology in acute otitis media in children. *J. Infect. Chemother.*, 14(3): 180-187.
- Zipfel TE, Wood WE, Street DF (1999). Effect of topical ciprofloxacin on post operative otorrhoea after tympanostomy tube insertion, 20: 416-420.