## MANAGEMENT OF COMMON BILE DUCT STONE

Dr. Mazin H. AlHawaz\* Dr. Ghassan A. Nasir<sup>@</sup>

\*Arab Board Certified Surgeon, Assistant Professor of Surgery, University of Basrah, College of Medicine & Specialist Surgeon Basrah General Hospital Basrah; IRAQ.
@FRCS Consultant Surgeon, Basrah General Hospital.

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Ghassan A. Nasir P.O. Box 36, Basrah, IRAQ.

# Summary

Symptomatic cholelithiasis is one of the most surgical problems in clinical common medicine. Common duct stones complicate the management of between 10% and 15% of these patients. With the advent of laparoscopic cholecystectomy and other minimally invasive therapeutic options, the management of these patients has become more complex. This study focuses on the pathogenesis and clinical presentation of choledocholithuaus as well as impact of new technology on the the management of this problem.

## Pathogenesis and classification

C.B.D (Common bile duct) stones are either of primary or secondary origin. Primary stones develop within the biliary ductal system in patients with benign strictures, sclerosing cholangrtis or cystic dilation of the bile ducts<sup>4</sup>. Secondary stones develop within the gall bladder<sup>1</sup>. Gender, parity, obesity, weight loss, and perhaps genetic are important risk factors in developing of secondary stones<sup>3</sup>. Almost all primary C.B.D. stones are of the pigment type and few are of cholesterol type. The pigment stones are of two types the brown type which is of primary in origin, contain bacteria in it and bile culture is positive 100%. The second type is the black type which is of secondary in origin, no contain bacteria and the bile culture is positive in 13% only<sup>3,4</sup>.

### **Clinical presentation**

Most patients with stones in the gall bladder are asymptomatic. Asymptomatic patients have 1% to 3% of developing symptoms and complications each year. Surprisingly, patients with choledocholitiasis may also remain asymptomatic for a considerable time<sup>2,5</sup>. These stones may pass through the sphincter of oddi and be evacuated without causing symptoms<sup>5</sup>. Patients with C.B.D. stones may presented with complains of abdominal pain and Jaundices Choelangiitis occurs. If obstruction of bile flow occurs in the presence of infected bile. The typical features of cholangiitis include fever, Jaundice, and abdominal pain and were first described by Charcot in

3

 $(1877)^{5,6}$ . Patients may also present with pancreatitis when gall stones cause temporary or sustained obstruction of the ampulla of vater<sup>6</sup>; therefore early intervention in the form of surgical or endoscopic therapy is indicated for these patients<sup>6,7</sup>.

Laboratory Investigation: Accurate identification of patients with C.B.D. stones is to avoid unnecessary C.B.D. essential instrumentation and to reduce the incidence of retained stones after cholecystectomy. The diagnosis may be apparent when the patient presents with cholangiitis or pancreatitis. However, in many patients undergoing cholecystectomy, the presence of common duct stones may not be apparent from signs and symptoms<sup>8,9,10</sup>. Liver function tests and pancreatic enzymes may be entirely normal in patients with C.B.D. stones<sup>8</sup>. Lacaine and colleagues found C.B.D. stones in 40% of patients if bilirubin (>1.3 mg/100ml) and alkaline phosphatase were both elevated, as compared to less than 5% of patients if both of these values were normal<sup>10</sup>. A number of radiological techniques are available as options for diagnosis of C.B.D. stones. Some

4

of these techniques also offer the opportunity for treatment of choledocholithiasis allowing management to be tailored to individual patient<sup>9,10</sup>.

GGTPserumgammaglutamyltranspeptidase is the most sustained laboratoryindicator of biliaryobstruction and may beelevated even when the total bilirubin is in thenormal range $^{19,20}$ .

**Ultrasound:** Ultrasound is an inexpensive, non-invasive and readily available method for investigating the biliary tree. Ultrasound is approximately 97% accurate in diagnosing gall stones, 90% in diagnosing dilatation of C.B.D. and 15-20% in diagnosing stone in C.B.D.<sup>8</sup>. Several factors militate against detection of stones in C.B.D. such as gas in the duodenum, and the curved wall of C.B.D. that lead to refraction of the sound beam<sup>11,12</sup>.

The sensitivity of ultrasound in detecting C.B.D. stones can be increased to between 80% and 100% when the ultrasonic tranducer is introduced endoscopically into the duodenum<sup>13</sup>. The distal bile duct is visualized by positioning the tranducer at the level of the ampulla, and the proximal C.B.D. and hepatic

duct are visualised transmurally in the 1<sup>st</sup> part of duodenum<sup>13</sup>.

Ultrasound may be used intraoperatively during cholecytectomy in detection of C.B.D. stones<sup>14,15</sup>. Intra-operative ultrasound in expert hands, has a positive predictive value between 72% and 79%. Intra-operative ultrasound may a particularly useful adjunct to laparoscopic surgery. A high frequency ultrasonic probe in a 6F sheath is introduced into the abdomen through an operating port and passed into the bile duct through the cysticduct, preliminary data suggest that this technique is as sensitive as cholangiography<sup>16</sup>. Disadvantages of intraoperative ultrasond as a diagnostic test for C.B.D. stones are that (1) the technique is highly operator-dependent, (2) bile duct anatomy is not adequately demonstrated and (3) the probe is no smaller than the newest choledochoscopes.

**Computed tomography scanning (CT):** CT is more sensitive than ultrasound in the detection of C.B.D. stones (65%-90%). CT remains an important tool in the evaluation of jaundiced patients in identifying (1) the level

of obstruction and (2) the presence or absence of a pancreatic mass<sup>17,18</sup>.

Cholangiography: Cholangiography remains gold standard in the diagnosis the of choledocholithiasis. The availability of percutanous transhepatic cholangiography and retrograde endoscopic cholangio pancreatography as accurate of means detecting C.B.D. stones have rendered I.V cholangiography obsolete<sup>19</sup>. Prophylactic antibiotics should be administrated both in PTC and ERCP, particularly to patients with recent cholangiitis. Expert endoscopists can C.B.D. successfully cannulate the in approximately 90% to 95% of patients<sup>20</sup>. previous However, Billroth Π gastroentrostomy may make the procedure technically impossible, particularly in patients with an antecolic anastomosis or along afferent limb in which PTC may be used. In order to prevent missed C.B.D. stones during cholecystectomy operative cholangiography should always be performed during open or laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Even if stones have been proved to be present preoperatively, operative cholangiography demonstrates

7

ductal and shows the distribution of the stones in the biliary tree at the time of surgery<sup>21,22</sup>. Now the MRI cholangiogram is available in detecting C.B.D. stones but it is expensive and should not be used as an initial diagnostic  $test^{22}.34.35$ 

#### **Techniques of stones management**

#### I-Endoscopic sphincterotomy

A diagnostic ERCP is performed initially to confirm the presence of stones. If one or two small stones only are found, it may be possible to retrieve a small stone with a basket through an intact papilla. However, sphincterotomy will be required in the majority of patients. Following sphincterotomy most stones smaller than 1cm in diameter will pass spontaneously within few days. After natively a balloon catheter or a Dormia basket may be used to extract the stones. Stones larger than 2 cm may require additional measures such as hydraulic lithotripsy or the use of dissolution agents instilled through a nasobiliary cannula. If clearance is incomplete, a nasobiliary drian should be placed in the bile duct to maintain

biliary drainage. Stones can be removed successfully in 85% to 90% of patients<sup>23,24</sup>.33

#### **II-Precutaneous stones extraction**

C.B.D. stones may be removed using a percutaneous approach<sup>25,26,27</sup>. Catheters are placed in the biliary tree precutanously at the time of precutanous cholangiographyand the tract is progressively dilated over a number of weeks until it will accommodate a 10 F to 16 F catheter. If the tract is large enough, stones may be retrieved using either a Dormia basket fluoroscopic guidance under or direct vision choledochoscpe under electrohydraulic or laser lithotripsy probes, dissolution agents, and stones repeated procedures may be required to achieve complete clearance of ductal system<sup>25,27</sup>.

# *III-Extra corporeal shock wave lithotripsy* (*ESWL*):

ESWL has been used as a less invasive means. ESWL was successful in fragmenting C.B.D. stones in 95% of patients, additional procedures including endoscopic fragment extraction or biliary lavage may be required to clear C.B.D. The principle biliary complications of ESWL were biliary pain, hemobilia and biliary sepsis. ESWL may be play a useful adjunctive role in the management of C.B.D. stones in the elderly or other patients of high risk<sup>29</sup>.

#### **IV-Laparoscopic common duct exploration**

When C.B.D. stones are identified on laparoscopic cholangiography during cholecystectomy, several procedures have been described for removing stones without resorting to open choledochotomy. A fogarty catheter may be introduced through the 14gauge intravenous cannula sleeve used for cholangiography<sup>21</sup> and passed into the common duct through the cystic duct. The catheter may push stones in front of it as it is advanced into the duodenum. Alternatively, stones may be retrieved via the cystic duct by withdrawing the catheter with the ballooninflated<sup>30</sup>.

A flexible choledochoscope may also be introduced through the mid clavicular port and passed into the C.B.D through the cystic duct<sup>31</sup>. Stones may be captured using a Dormia basket passed through the operating channel. If the cystic duct can not be dilated to

accommodate a choledochoscope, the scope may be introduced through a standard choledochotomy. However, a T tube is following choledochotomy indicated and suturing laparascopically remains a slow, tedious procedure. The presence of large numbers of stones in the C.B.D. are a relative contraindication laparoscopic to duct exploration. The availability of small-diameter electrohydraulic and laser lithotripy probes allow lithotriptic clearance of large numbers of C.B.D stones laparoscopically in a reasonable time period<sup>31</sup>. However, these patients are likely to have retained or recurrent stones and may be better served by a drainage procedure<sup>21</sup>. The complication of laparoscopic C.B.D exploration are cholangiitis, bile leaks, common duct injury and entrapment of the basket in the duodenum requiring open removal<sup>32</sup>.36,37,38

## Conclusions

The approach to C.B.D. stones has changed considerably as expertise in non-operative and minimally invasive techniques has increased.

Important factors to be considered in choosing the most appropriate option include local expertise, the severity of disease, and the presence of the gall bladder. Younger patients with intact gall bladder who present with symptoms due to C.B.D stones should have a cholecystectomy and stone clearance from ducts. their However, endoscopic sphincterotomy alone may be a reasonable alternative in elderly patients and those unfit for surgery. Patients who present acutely with sever cholangiitis or pancreatitis may require emergency duct decompression, and recent studies indicate that endoscopic drainage is preferable to open procedures in these groups. However, the majority of patients with cholangitis or pancreatitis will settle on conservative therapy. The management of patients must be individualized on the basis of local laparoscopic interventional radiologic, and endoscopic expertise.

## **References:**

- 1-Kanfman HS, Magnuson TH, Lillemoe KD, et al. The role of bacteria in gall bladder and common duct stone formation. Ann Surg 1989; 209: 584-592.
- 2-Johnson C, Sprinkle PN. Autopsy incidence of cholelithiasis in a general hospital. Nc Med J. 1962; 23: 107-108.
- 3-Carey MC. Pathogenesis of gall stones. Ann J Surg 1993; 165: 410-419.
- 4-Malet PF, Dabezies MA, Huang G, et al. Quantitative in frared spectroscopy of common bile duct gall stones. Gastroentrology 1988, 94: 1217-1221.
- 5-Kelly TR. Gall stone pancreatites: Pathophysiology. Surgery 1976; 8: 488-492.
- 6-Patti G, Pellegrini CA. Gall stone pancreatitis. Surg Clin North Am 1990; 70: 1277-1295.
- 7-Arm strong CP, Tylor TV, Jeacok J. The biliary tract in patients with acute gall stone pancreatitis. Br J Surg 1985; 72: 551-555.
- 8-Way Lw, Admirand WH, Dunphy JE. Management of choledocholithiasis. Ann Surg 1972; 176: 347-359.

- 9-Saltzstein EC, Peacock JB, Thomas MD. Preoperative bilirubin alkaline phosphatse and analysis level as predictors of common duct stones. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1982; 154: 381-384.
- 10-Lacaine F, Corelette MB, Bismuth H. Preoperative evaluation of the risk of common bile duct stones. Arch Surg 1980; 115: 1114-1116.
- 11-Cronan JJ, Muller PR, Simeone JF, et al. Prospective diagnosis of choledocholithiasis. Radiology 1983; 146: 467-469.
- 12-Gross BH, Harter LP, Gore RM, et al. Ultrasonic evaluation of common duct stones. Radiology 1981; 146: 471-474.
- 13-Edmundowicz SA, Aliperti G, Middleton WB. Preliminary experience using endoscopic ultrasonography in the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis. Endoscopy 1992; 24: 774-778.
- 14-Siegel B, Mach J, Beitter Jc, et al. Comparative accuracy of operative ultrasonography and cholangiography in detecting common duct calculi. Surgery 1985; 94; 715-720.
- 15- Mosnier H, Roullet Andy JC, Bouche O, et al. Intraoperative sonography during

cholecystectomy for gall stone. Surg Gaynecol Obstet. 1992; 174: 469-473.

- 16-Thompson H, Kisslo K, Farouk M, et al. Technique of intraluminal biliary ultrasonography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Laparoscopy 1992; 165: 265-265-269.
- 17-Baron RL, Stanly RJ, Lee KJ, et al. Computed tomographic features of biliary obstruction. A J R 1983; 140: 1173-1176.
- 18-Pedrosa CS, Casanova R, Lezana AH, et al. Computed tomography in obstructive Jaundice. Part II. The cause of obstruction. Radiology 1981; 139: 635-645.
- 19-Eubank B, Martinez CR, Mehigan P, et al. Current role of intravenous cholangiography. Am J Surg 1982; 143: 731-733.
- 20-Siegel JH, Pullano W. Two new methods for selective bile duct cannulation and sphincterotomy. Gastrointest Endosc 1987; 33: 438- 440.
- 21-Petelis JB. Laparoscopic approach to C.D pathology. AmJ Surg 1993; 165: 487-491.
- 22-Sackier JM, Berci G, Phillips E, et al. The role of cholangiography in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Arch Surg 1991; 126: 1021-1026.

- 23-Siegel JH. Endoscopic papillotomy is the treatment of biliary tract disease: Two hundred fifty eight procedures and results. Dig Dis Sci. 1981; 62: 1057-1064.
- 24-Cotton PB, Vallon JA. British experience with duodenoscopic sphincterctomy for removal of bile duct stones. Br J Surg. 1981; 68: 373-375.
- 25-Groen JN, Lock MT, Lamers JS, et al. Removal of common bile duct stones by the combination of percutaneous transhepatic dilatation and extra corporeal shock wave lithotripsy. Gastroentrology 1989; 87: 202-206.
- 26-Jengks, chiang HJ, Shih SC. Limitation of percutaneous transhepatic cholangioscopy in the removal of complicated biliary calculi. World J Surg. 1989: 603-610.
- 27-Perez MR, Oleaga JA, Freiman DB, et al. Removal of a distal C.B.D. stone through percutaneous transhepatic catheterization. Arch Surg 1979; 114: 107-109.
- 28-Stones KR, Flachuk KR, Clouse ME. Biliary duct stones: update on 54 cases after percutaneous transhepatic removal. Radiology 1989; 179: 999-1001.

- 29-den Toom R, Nijs HGT, Van Blankenstien M, et al. Extracoropeal shock wave treatment of common bile duct stones: experience with two different lithotripters at a single institution. Br J Surg. 1991; 78:809-813.
- 30-Hunter JC. Laparoscopic transcystic C.B.D. exploration. Am J Surg 1992; 163: 53-58.
- 31-Smith PC, Clayman RV, Soper NJ. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and choledochoscopy for the treatment of cholelithiasis and choledocholithiasis. Surgery 1980; 111: 230-233.
- 32-Hunter JC, Soper NJ. Laparoscopic management of bile duct stones. Surg Clin North Am. 1992; 72: 1077-1097.
- 33-Geenen DJ, Geenen Je, Jafri FM. The role of surveillance endoscopic retrograde cholangio- pancreaticography in preventing episodic cholangitis in patients with recurrent common bile duct stones. Endoscopy, 30:18-20,1998.
- 34-Loke M, Endes J, Abaslute G. The part of MR cholangiography in biliary surgery. Acta.Chir.Hung. 36:198-200, 1997.
- 35-Demartines N, Eisner L, Schanbel K. Evaluation of MR cholangiography in the

management of bile duct stones. Arch.Surg. 135:148-152,2000.

- 36-Capelluto E, Barrar C, Cathline JM. Systematic peroperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Chirurgie 124:536-541,1999.
- 37- Shuchleib S, Chousleb A, Mondragon A. Laparoscopic common bile duct exploration. World J.Surgery 23:698-701,1999.
- 38-Mever C, Le JV, Rohr S. Management of common bile duct stone by laparoscopic cholecystectomy and endoscopic sphincterotomy. Dig.Surg. 16:26-31,1999.